



CORRIDOR PLAN

*Southern US 95 and US 93
landscape and aesthetics corridor plan*



INCLUDES US 95 SOUTH FROM RAILROAD PASS TO THE CALIFORNIA STATE LINE, US 93 TO HOOVER DAM AND US 95 NORTH OF I-215 TO THE CLARK COUNTY LINE WEST OF INDIAN SPRINGS

**DESIGN WORKSHOP
PLACES**
Sand County Studios
JW Zunino & Associates
CH2MHill

December 15, 2006

MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR OF NEVADA

On June 6, 2002, the Nevada Department of Transportation adopted as policy, *“Pattern and Palette of Place: A Landscape and Aesthetics Master Plan for the Nevada State Highway System.”* The second phase of planning is complete. The Landscape and Aesthetics Corridor Plan represents a significant step forward for the Landscape and Aesthetics program created by the Master Plan because it involves local public agencies and citizens in the planning process. Now, Nevada’s highways truly represent the State and its people. The Corridor Plan will be the primary management tool for use in guiding funding allocations, promoting appropriate aesthetic design, and providing for the incorporation of highway elements that uniquely express Nevada’s landscape, communities, and cities, as well as its people. The State considers this Corridor Plan to be a major accomplishment for the future of Nevada highways.



MESSAGE FROM THE DIRECTOR OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Landscape and aesthetics are an integral part of the design in building and retrofitting our highway system. This Landscape and Aesthetics Corridor Plan for US 95 and US 93 in Southern Nevada helps realize our vision for the future appearance of our highways. The plan will provide the guidance for our own design teams, and it will help Nevada’s citizens participate in formulating context-sensitive solutions for today’s transportation needs. Together, we will ensure our highways reflect Nevada’s distinctive heritage, landscape, and culture.



ENDORSEMENTS

This Corridor Plan has been reviewed by the following groups and agencies. Endorsement means agreeing in principle with the opportunities and recommendations identified within agency jurisdiction:

City of Boulder City
City of Henderson
City of Henderson Parks and Recreation Department
Clark County Parks and Recreation
Clark County Public Works Department
Fort Mojave Tribal Council
Las Vegas Arts Commission
National Park Service
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Nevada Division of Forestry
Nevada Division of State Parks, Las Vegas Region
Nevada Resort Association
Nevada State Museum and Historical Society
Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada
Searchlight Town Advisory Board
Sierra Club
Southern Nevada Home Builders
Southern Nevada Water Authority
State Arts Commission
U.S. Forest Service Spring Mountain National Recreation Area
University of Nevada, Las Vegas

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

State Transportation Board

Kenny C. Guinn (Chairman)	Governor
Kathy Augustine	Controller
Caesar Caviglia	Member
Tom Gust	Member
Lorraine Hunt	Lieutenant Governor
Brian Sandoval	Attorney General
Jim Thornton	Member

Statewide Transportation Technical Advisory Committee (STTAC)

Audrey Allan	Vincent Guthreau
Laurie Ann-Grimes	Sig Jaunarajs
Tom Brady	Mara Jones
Steve Bunnell	Charlie Kajkowski (Vice-Chair)
Denis Cederburg	Clara Lawson
Daryl Crawford	Patrick Pittenger
Keyth Durham	Marc Reynolds (Chair)
Paul Enos	Jonna Samsom
Jon Ericson	Bruce Turner
David Fraser	Steve Weaver
Debra Goodwin	

Technical Review Committee (TRC)

Kenny Anderson	Cameron Harper	Jim Moore
Brok Armantrout	Jim Holland	Thonni Morikawa
Bill Bible	David Hunt	Deborah Murray
Eric Blumensaadt	Rick Keller	Damon Ohlerking
Jackie Brady	Teri Knight	Lisa Ortega
Ann Brauer	Myrna and Bob Kokesch	Cathy Razor
Kim Bush	Joan Lolmaugh	Bill Rowe
Polly Carolin	Rudy Malfabon	Kim Russell
Denis Cederburg	Joe Martinez	Dave Sangster
Barry Duncan	Mary Martini	Robert Shawn
Saundra Durgin	Louisa McDonald	Lynn Shomens
Annice Ellis	Nora McDowell	Jeffrey Steinmetz
Jane Feldman	Bob Mckenzie	Luis Valera
Robert Fisher	Carolyn Merrick	Patrick Watson
Patrick Gaffey	Alfreda Mitre	

Nevada Department of Transportation

Rand Pollard, P.E. - *Assistant Chief of Road Design Engineer*
 Lucy Joyce-Mendive - *Landscape Architect Supervisor*
 Janice Ellis - *Assistant Landscape Architect*
 Ron Blakemore - *Former Landscape Architect Supervisor*

**University of Nevada Las Vegas
 Landscape Architecture and Planning Research Office**

Mark Elison Hoversten - *Professor, FASLA, AICP*
 Susan Jones - *Research Associate, ASLA*
 Brian Pugh - *Research Assistant, ASLA*

Consultant Team

Design Workshop

Richard W. Shaw - *Principal, FASLA*
 Steve Noll - *Principal, ASLA*
 Stephanie Grigsby - *Associate, ASLA*
 Kristofer Johnson - *Landscape Designer*
 Eric Roverud - *Landscape Designer*
 Laura Miller - *Landscape Designer*
 Elizabeth Scott - *Landscape Designer, ASLA*
 Rebecca Leonard - *Planner*
 Anna Gagne - *Planner*
 Julie McGrew - *Landscape Designer*
 Devon Morgan - *Graphic Designer*
 Lisa McGuire - *Graphic Designer*
 Nino Pero - *Website Designer*
 Kelan Smith - *Environmental Graphics*
 Dori Johnson - *Executive Assistant*
 Jen Tarbet - *Project Assistant*

PLACES

Barbara Santner - *Landscape Architect and Planner, ASLA, AICP*
 Kathie Brinkerhoff - *Landscape Designer*
 Susan Leuscher - *Landscape Architect in Training*

Sand County Studios

James Sipes - *Landscape Architect and Digital Database Development, ASLA*

JW Zunino and Associates

Jack Zunino - *Principal, FASLA*
 Geoffrey Schafner - *Landscape Coordinator, ASLA*
 Kari Bergh - *Landscape Architect*

CH2M Hill

Cindy Potter - *Civil Engineer, Principal, P.E.*



USER'S GUIDE

- Refer to the section beginning on page 1.3 to understand **Softscape and Hardscape Types and Treatments**
- Refer to the Introduction and Section One of Chapter Two beginning on page 2.1 to understand how the corridor is organized into **Highway Zones**
- Refer to Chapter Two, Sections Two through Four beginning on page 2.12 to understand the theme and design objectives for each **Landscape Design Segment**
- Refer to pages 2.20, 2.21, 2.30, 2.31, 2.38, and 2.39 for **Design Interpretation**
- Refer to Chapter Three beginning on page 3.1 for specific **Design Guidelines**
- Refer to page 3.23 to view the base and accent **Color Palettes**
- Refer to pages 3.42, 3.44, 3.45, and 3.46 to view the **Plant Palettes** for each Softscape Type
- Refer to Chapter Four beginning on page 4.1 for the description of **Funding and Costs**
- Refer to the section beginning on page 4.17 for **Project Priorities**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This plan establishes the vision for the landscape and aesthetics of the Southern US 95 and US 93 corridor. The vision synthesizes historic, current, and future conditions into a comprehensive guide to improve the visual appearance of the highway corridors through communities, rural landscapes, and scenic environments.

The first chapter of this report provides an introduction to the NDOT Landscape and Aesthetics program. It describes the mechanism by which corridor design will be managed, a description of programs and elements that influence highway aesthetics, and a summary of background information gathered and analyzed.

Chapter Two describes the process through which sections of the highway were categorized into highway zones and divided into distinct landscape design segments: Mountain Desert

Vista, Destiny of the West, and Mojave Desert Vista. A description of the theme, design objectives and examples of the appropriate design aesthetic are presented for each segment. Additionally, maps and sections of the landscape design segments provide detail regarding the location of specific projects and the desired level of aesthetic treatment.

Chapter Three begins by outlining an approach to the design process. This process highlights the necessity of integrating landscape and aesthetics at the beginning of every project. Design guidelines are included to provide the framework for improving the aesthetics of existing, new, and retrofit highway projects. They are written statements of recommended methods to meet the segment's design objectives. The guidelines, accompanied by concept diagrams, sketches, or photographs, demonstrate ways in which to achieve the design intent.

Chapter Four summarizes the cost implications associated with the improvements proposed by this Corridor Plan. Cost estimates are included for both preliminary project budgeting as well as ongoing project maintenance. These estimates will inform NDOT in the decision-making process and help influence budget allocations for the landscape and aesthetics highway improvements.

The remaining chapters provide information that will help readers understand the technical information presented in the document.

INTRODUCTION.....	I
Executive Summary	IV
CHAPTER ONE: CORRIDOR MANAGEMENT AND BACKGROUND INVENTORY	1
SECTION ONE: Introduction.....	1.1
SECTION TWO: Elements of Landscape and Aesthetics	1.3
SECTION THREE: Background Inventory	1.20
CHAPTER TWO: LANDSCAPE DESIGN SEGMENTS.....	2
SECTION ONE: Highway Zones	2.4
SECTION TWO: Mountain Desert Vista	2.12
SECTION THREE: Destiny of the West	2.22
SECTION FOUR: Mojave Desert Vista	2.32
CHAPTER THREE: DESIGN GUIDELINES.....	3
SECTION ONE: Design Process Guidelines	3.2
SECTION TWO: Destiny of the West Design Guidelines	3.4
SECTION THREE: Community and Urban Context Guidelines	3.6
SECTION FOUR: Highway Facilities Guidelines.....	3.14
CHAPTER FOUR: COST ANALYSIS AND IMPLEMENTATION.....	4
SECTION ONE: Cost Analysis.....	4.1
SECTION TWO: Implementation	4.15
SECTION THREE: Priorities	4.17
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION	5
SECTION ONE: Conclusion	5.1
TECHNICAL APPENDIX.....	A
SECTION ONE: Potential Community Funding Sources.....	A.1
SECTION TWO: Mapping Ecosystems Along Nevada Highways.....	A.4
GLOSSARY.....	B
BIBLIOGRAPHY	C

FIGURE TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER ONE: CORRIDOR MANAGEMENT AND BACKGROUND INVENTORY

FIGURE 1 - Overall Corridor Planning Process 1.1

FIGURE 2 - Landscape Treatment Types 1.3

FIGURE 3 - Existing Scenic Byways 1.17

CHAPTER TWO: LANDSCAPE DESIGN SEGMENTS

FIGURE 4 - Corridor Organizing Elements 2.1

FIGURE 5 - Landscape Design Segment Themes, Maps, and Sections 2.2

FIGURE 6 - Highway Zone Design Objectives 2.4

FIGURE 7 - Urban Freeways- Highway Zones 2.5

FIGURE 8 - City Streets - Highway Zones 2.6

FIGURE 9 - Traffic-calming Features 2.7

FIGURE 10 - Five-lane Highways 2.7

FIGURE 11 - 60-foot Right of Way 2.8

FIGURE 12 - 80-foot Right of Way 2.8

FIGURE 13 - Rural Highways - Highway Zones 2.10

CHAPTER THREE: DESIGN GUIDELINES

FIGURE 14 - Native Revegetation Plant Palette 3.42

FIGURE 15 - Enhanced Native Plant Palette 3.44

FIGURE 16 - Regionally Adapted Plant Palette 3.45

FIGURE 17 - Regional Ornamental Plant Palette 3.46

CHAPTER FOUR: COST ANALYSIS AND IMPLEMENTATION

FIGURE 18 - Landscape Treatment Types 4.4

FIGURES 19 - 50 - Costing Diagrams 4.5 - 4.12

FIGURE 51 - Planning Level Costing Estimate 4.13

FIGURE 52 - Total Life Cycle Maintenance Costs 4.14

FIGURE 53 - Maintenance Costs for Landscape Treatment Types 4.14

FIGURE 54 - Funding Opportunities 4.16

MAP AND SECTION TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER ONE: CORRIDOR MANAGEMENT AND BACKGROUND INVENTORY

MAP EC1: Environmental Considerations - California State Line To Searchlight 1.23

MAP EC2: Environmental Considerations - Searchlight To Eldorado Valley 1.24

MAP EC3: Environmental Considerations - Eldorado Valley To Hoover Dam 1.25

MAP EC4: Environmental Considerations - Kyle Canyon To Clark County Line 1.26

MAP VS1: Viewsheds - California State Line To Searchlight 1.28

MAP VS2: Viewsheds - Searchlight To Eldorado Valley 1.29

MAP VS3: Viewsheds - Eldorado Valley To Hoover Dam 1.30

MAP VS4: Viewsheds - Kyle Canyon To Clark County Line 1.31

MAP VA1: Visual Analysis - California State Line To Searchlight 1.32

MAP VA2: Visual Analysis - Searchlight To Eldorado Valley 1.33

MAP VA3: Visual Analysis - Eldorado Valley To Hoover Dam 1.34

MAP VA4: Visual Analysis - Kyle Canyon To Clark County Line 1.35

CHAPTER TWO: LANDSCAPE DESIGN SEGMENTS

MAP A: Landscape Design Segments - Southern US 95 And US 93 Corridor 2.3

MAP A1: Mountain Desert Vista - Design Objectives - California State Line To Eldorado Valley 2.13

MAP A2: Mountain Desert Vista - Design Objectives - Eldorado Valley To US 95/US 93 Intersection 2.14

SECTION A1: Mountain Desert Vista - Longitudinal Section - California State Line To Eldorado Valley 2.15

SECTION A2: Mountain Desert Vista - Longitudinal Section - Eldorado Valley To Railroad Pass 2.16

MAP A3: Mountain Desert Vista - Specific Features - California State Line To Eldorado Valley 2.17

MAP A4 Mountain Desert Vista - Specific Features - Eldorado Valley To Us 95/US 93 Intersection 2.18

MAP B1: Destiny Of The West - Design Objectives - US 95/US 93 Intersection To Hoover Dam 2.24

SECTION B1: Destiny Of The West - Longitudinal Section - US 93: US 95/US 93 Intersection To Hoover Dam 2.25

SECTION B2: Destiny Of The West - Longitudinal Section - Boulder City Bypass 2.26

MAP B2: Destiny Of The West - Specific Features - US 95/US 93 Intersection To Hoover Dam 2.27

MAP C1: Mojave Desert Vista - Design Objectives - Kyle Canyon To Clark County Line 2.34

SECTION C1: Mojave Desert Vista - Longitudinal Section - Kyle Canyon To Clark County Line 2.35

MAP C2: Mojave Desert Vista - Specific Features - Kyle Canyon To Clark County Line 2.36

CHAPTER FOUR: COST ANALYSIS AND IMPLEMENTATION

MAP PR1: Mountain Desert Vista - Priority Projects - California State Line To Eldorado Valley 4.18

MAP PR2: Mountain Desert Vista - Priority Projects - Eldorado Valley To US 95/US 93 Intersection 4.19

MAP PR3: Destiny Of The West - Priority Projects - US 95/US 93 Intersection To Hoover Dam 4.20

MAP PR 4: Mojave Desert Vista - Priority Projects - Kyle Canyon To Clark County Line 4.21