I am writing this e-mail to express opposition to discriminatory Project Labor Agreements on all NDOT projects. PLAs on NDOT Projects will:

- Condone discrimination based upon labor affiliation. \_
- Creates an environment that is anti-worker, anti-taxpayer, and anti-contractor. \_
- Stifles competition in the bidding process and will increase costs to the taxpayer.
- Creates a system of cronyism for awarding contracts for construction instead of a system based upon merit.
- Limit the number of contractors pursuing this work
- Restrict the number of subcontractors available to perform NDOT Projects \_
- Require the employment of contractors from out of the State who may be signatory to agreements
- Reduce competition on NDOT projects \_
- Increase costs of NDOT construction

Thank you for your consideration,

#### Mac Bybee President and CEO

#### Reno Office:

240 South Rock Blvd., Suite #121 5070 Arville St., Suite #4 Reno, NV 89502 775.358.7888 P | 775.358.7893 F 702.227.0536 P | 702.227.0546 F

Las Vegas Office:

Las Vegas, NV 89118



Associated Builders and Contractors

Now, More Than Ever! ABC Means Business Training • Networking • Political Action

Think Construction Think ABC

Board Members,

Please reject the Proposed Project Labor Agreement on any NDOT projects. These contracts are only beneficial to the unions. It restricts a large number of tax paying construction workers that aren't union members.

These agreements have been proven to eliminate competition and raise costs to the taxpayers. Another consequence of these agreements is fewer jobs get released because of the exuberant costs.

I would also like to point out that this would be the absolute worse time to take on such a major policy change. After all most people in the state have no idea that this is even being looked at with the Corona Virus on everyone's mind.

thank you for your consideration on this subject.

Justin Ivory President A-1 Steel, Inc. Sparks, Nevada p 775-358-8666 f 775-358-4009 c 775-690-8968 Nevada Lic #48530 WHY WOULD ANYONE IN THEIR RIGHT MIND WANT TO CONTROL GOOD COMPETITION.

Requiring Project Labor Agreements will:

- Limit the number of contractors pursuing this work
- Restrict the number of subcontractors available to perform NDOT Projects
- Require the employment of contractors from out of the State who may be signatory to agreements
- Reduce competition on NDOT projects
- Increase costs of NDOT construction

### Gail Ward

Office Manager 1-888-4-Abatement, Inc. 3158 Luyung Drive Rancho Cordova, CA 95742 email: gail@8884abatement.com (916) 231-0090 - office (916) 231-0096 - fax

From:	tmoore1@g3electrical.com
То:	PublicComment
Cc:	Thomas Moore
Subject:	Oppose NDOT PLAs
Date:	Monday, April 13, 2020 1:37:50 PM
Attachments:	<u>siqimq1</u>

I am writing this message to oppose PLAs on NDOT projects above a specific amount. I oppose this proposal because I believe it will affect our ability as a small, local, DBE, MBE, and SBE to compete on these projects. I believe requiring Project Labor Agreements will:

- Limit the number of contractors pursuing this work
- Restrict the number of subcontractors available to perform NDOT Projects
- Require the employment of contractors from out of the State who may be signatory to agreements
- Reduce competition on NDOT projects
- Increase costs of NDOT construction

Please consider the small contractors and the burden this will place on us in competing for these projects.

Thank you,

**Tiesha Moore** G3 Electrical \*\*2019 Nevada Contractors Association Diverse Subcontractor of the Year Winner!\*\*

#### WBE, MBE, DBE, SBE Fed. Cert: NV20338452NUCP

1951 Stella Lake St., #34 Las Vegas, NV 89106 o: 702.916.3355 m: 702.526.6769 f: 702.947.2536 tmoore1@G3Electrical.com www.G3Electrical.com



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including attachments, is intended solely for the person or individual to which it is addressed and may contain information that is confidential or privileged and protected under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and delete the original and any copies or attachments.

From:	<u>kathryn mack</u>
То:	PublicComment
Subject:	[QUAR] NDOT PLAs
Date:	Monday, April 13, 2020 1:38:00 PM
Importance:	Low

I am subcontractor and am opposed to the PLA propose by NDOT. I don't believe that limiting the number of contractors who can pursue work is ethical or advantageous to the construction industry in our valley. It reduces competition with a possibility of increases costs. It also limits smaller contractors the ability to bid NDOT work when they are financially able.

Kathryn Mack Adaven Air Conditioning & Sheet Metal, LLC 213 N STEPHANIE #G-298 HENDERSON NV 89074 702-208-4784 702-242-1075 (fax) NV Lic# 0010470A

From:	Donnie Gibson
То:	PublicComment
Cc:	Donnie Gibson
Subject:	NDOT Board Meeting
Date:	Monday, April 13, 2020 1:40:15 PM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png

Dear Board,

It was brought to my attention that NDOT is considering requiring PLA's (Project Labor Agreements) in new contracts.

The requirement of PLA's will;

Limit the number of contractors pursuing the work.

Restrict the number of subcontractors available to perform NDOT projects.

Require the employment of contractor from out of the State who may be signatory to

agreements.

Force small contractors to become signatory to accept work.

Force non-union contractors to send their employees to the unemployment line, and employ union workers

Reduce competition on NDOT projects.

Increase the cost of NDOT project.

Please give this thorough consideration before making a decision that impacts Nevada businesses and workers.

Thank you for listening,

# Donnie Gibson

### President



4845 Judson – Las Vegas NV 89115

O: 702-800-2130 M: 702-901-3735 F: 702-478-2571

www.civilwerx.com



The information contained in this E-mail message, including any attached files transmitted, is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended only for the sole use of the individual(s) named above. If you are the intended recipient, be aware that your use of any confidential or personal information may be restricted by state and federal privacy laws. If you, the reader of this message, are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you should not further disseminate, distribute or forward this E-mail message. If you have received this E-mail in error, please notify the sender and delete the material from your computer system. This message is provided for information purposes and should not be construed as a solicitation or offer to buy or sell any securities or related financial instruments in any jurisdiction.

NDOT -

We strongly **oppose** Project Labor Agreements (PLA's) on any NDOT construction project regardless of budget.

#### Requiring will, at a minimum:

- Limit the number of general contractors pursuing this work
- Restrict the number of subcontractors available to perform NDOT Projects
- Require the employment of contractors from out of the State who may be signatory to agreements
- Reduce competition on NDOT projects
- Increase costs of NDOT construction

Respectfully,

Jim Miller | President P | (775) 853.5553 F | (775) 853.0905 C | (775) 433.8915 Jim@cdsincnv.com



To Whom it may concern,

I am opposed to NDOT considering the use of PLA agreements on ANY NDOT job. The State of Nevada is still a right to work state. The Taxes received for all state expenditures are from all citizens of the State, not just Union members who would only benefit from a PLA agreement.. Elimination of fair market bidding procedure for Public Sector projects drives up the cost of every project, which is a direct result in any PLA agreement.

The quality for each job is the same for the end user due to current NDOT specifications and Inspectors. With more competition, our tax dollars are able to go farther.

I opposed ALL Public Sector PLA agreements.

Rodney Leavitt Wheeler's Electric Inc

#### NDOT Board,

I would like to provide you opposition to imposing PLA's on future NDOT work. First, I don't believe they would accomplish much in Northern Nevada based on the fact that many of NDOT's contractors and larger subcontractors are union. Secondly, I believe imposing a PLA will create less competition in NDOT work for generals and subcontractors. The imposition of a PLA creates more administrative burden that many contractors will want to avoid. This is especially true of the smaller contractors and DBE contractors which may not be set up to handle the additional reporting and payroll differences that are inherent with the PLA's. Finally, because of the uncertainty many contractors would have with these agreements, Contractor's price would increase and instituting these agreements would also require significant staff time to negotiate and monitor.

Thank you for your consideration.

### Marc Markwell, CFO/General Counsel

SIERRA NEVADA CONSTRUCTION, INC.



2055 E. Greg Street Sparks, NV 89431 Office: 775.355.0420 Fax: 775.355.0535 Web: www.snc.biz Visit Us On: Facebook | LinkedIn Proud Supporter: Boys & Girls Club of Truckee Meadows

**PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL:** This message originates from Sierra Nevada Construction, Inc. This message and any file(s) or attachment(s) transmitted with it are confidential, intended only for the named recipient, and may contain information that is privileged or protected against unauthorized use or disclosure. This message and any file(s) or attachment(s) transmitted with it are transmitted based on a reasonable expectation of privacy. Any disclosure, distribution, copying, or use of this information by anyone other than the intended recipient, regardless of address or routing, is strictly prohibited. If you receive this message in error, please advise the sender by immediate reply and delete the original message. Personal messages express only the view of the sender and are not attributable to Sierra Nevada Construction, Inc.

From:	claudia@kelleyerosioncontrol.com
То:	PublicComment
Subject:	Oppose Labor Agreements
Date:	Monday, April 13, 2020 1:51:30 PM

NDOT Board – It is my understanding that you received a presentation to consider Project Labor Agreements on all construction projects over a specific amount, amount not determined as yet. We are a small specialty construction company, we work on NDOT projects and are very much opposed to this. We are non-union and this will make it very difficult to bid on or work on NDOT projects that should be open to ALL tax paying contractors. The percentage of non-union contractors is much higher than union, this is true across America. As most small businesses struggle to survive none of us need more requirements or restrictions to hamper succeeding in today's environment.

This "Agreement" will limit the number of contractors that are able to seek or be able to perform work on NDOT's projects. This will also reduce competition which will raise the cost of NDOT's construction projects, exactly the opposite of what our state and country needs at this time in history.

For years NDOT has worked hard to assist small contractors, woman and minorities. Please oppose this idea and continue the good work while promoting all contractors that want to work on your projects and at the same time continue to assist small contractors succeed in business.

This idea is BAD for construction and BAD for Nevada. Please Oppose NDOT PLAs.

Thank you,

Claudia J Chambers President

Claudia J. Chambers CPESC, CISEC, QSP claudia@kelleyerosioncontrol.com

KELLEY EROSION CONTROL, INC. 2395-B Tampa Street • Reno, NV 89512 Office: (775) 322-7755 Cell (775)741-8875

From:	Heather Hellickson
То:	PublicComment
Subject:	NDOT Project Labor Agreements
Date:	Monday, April 13, 2020 1:57:27 PM
Attachments:	036 sm fb a1347472-45be-4a0b-af83-b901fa688c57.png
	036 sm instagram 9927e091-e056-4b30-a2b6-e681664c9634.png
	agc-seal1 51acf178-fd16-4797-aec9-fb85e8ae694e.png
	DBE-resized10 42c11b97-a401-4b65-8b1b-53f6587a617b.png

Dear NDOT Board:

A PLA commitment would have broad-reaching effects on my business, ultimately making me less competitive on private jobs. It would result in a permanent increase in company overhead and result in financial commitments to Labor Organizations, which would dictate the future of my company. This type of policy will unfairly limit the number of projects a small emerging DBE, such as myself, would be allowed to bid. In turn, it would make the State's committed DBE percentages to the Federal Government harder to achieve, putting NDOT at risk of losing funding due to compliance in the program. Requiring PLAs will limit the number of general contractors and subcontractors available to perform NDOT projects, increase costs, and potentially lead to out of state contractors awarded Nevada jobs simply because they are signatory to agreements. PLAs will also result in limiting the introduction of new employees to the construction industry, who may not want to make a permanent commitment to a Labor Organization, which could result in staffing shortages, project cost increases, and ultimately increased costs to the taxpayers. Nevada already has laws in place to protect construction workers on public works projects and to penalize contractors who do not adhere to those laws.

I plead for you to vote NO to Project Labor Agreements on all construction projects over a specific amount.

Warm regards,



Dear Friend~

I am writing to you in opposition to Project Labor Agreements on all NDOT projects. PLAs are a discriminatory practice the excludes qualified contractors simply based up on whether they are a union or not. This practice would discourage competition, increases project costs, and harms construction workers throughout the state. Please place into the record our opposition to mandating PLAs on NDOT projects.

Thank you much...

### Jentry Nix III – Business Development Manager

#### 4360 West Tompkins Las Vegas, NV 89103

Mobile: 702-493-4962 Office: 702-227-3444 Fax: 702-227-6890



http://adobecommunications.com/assets/videos/acom.mp4 PLEASE CLICK TO WATCH VIDEO

www.adobecommunications.com

ACCESS CONTROL

An access control system determines who is allowed to determines who is allowed to enter or exit, where they can enter or exit, and when they can enter or exit. Electronic access control uses computers to solve the limitations of mechanical locks and keys



HELPDESK SUPPORT

Adobe Communications Electronic, Inc. can provide your business with expertise and experience to solve difficult problems during difficult times. jnix@adobecomm.com

Sm

AUDIOVISUAL

components. Business presentations and comferences are achieved through the implementation of audiovisual

INTRUSION DETECTION

Intrusion detection systems provide protection against burglary, theft, property damage, and personal safety. Typical systems include motion detectors, door contacts, brake

Intrusion detection systems

beam detectors, glass break sensors, and panic alarms,

both sound and visual

systems.

ins processing



DESIGN-BUILD

Consulting and design services are available through our team of electrical and software engineers, master electricians, and Bicsi RCDD's.



Our installations are alv Our installations are always labled and certified. We offer installation and factory warranties. You can always trust the cable pathways certified by Adobe Communications Electronics, Inc.



FIBER OPTICS

We have completed various large scale utility fiber links in Las Scale utility hoer links in Las Vegas, and we own the best equipment int the industry allowing us to deliver the fastest and most relyable fiber optic Depkers.



#### VIDEO SURVEILLANCE

Security cameras and vide Security cameras and video recorders are proven to be highly effective in reducing crime, remotely monitoring business operations, protecting critical facilities, preventing loss, and maintaining employee safety.

#### To whom it may concern

American Pavement Preservation is opposed to Project Labor agreements this is process to make every contractor a union contractor which is not the American way of freedom I have a right to be a Non Union open Merit shop contractor and not be required to sign a project labor agreement this is Un-American to infringe on others freedom. I am find with choices to be union or non-union their choice is what a free country is about. Requiring a contractor be either union or non-union is not right and not what a free country would do. All contractors who are safe, financially sound, and can perform the work should be "FREE" to "Openly and Competitively bid" on the public works project they have paid taxes to support. Let the open bidding free market determine who gets the job. I was in California when they tried this miss use of public trust by the elected officials to get the unions in power and it failed because it would cost more money and it is not right.

Requiring Project Labor Agreements will:

- Limit the number of contractors pursuing this work
- Restrict the number of subcontractors available to perform NDOT Projects
- Require the employment of contractors from out of the State who may be signatory to agreements
- Reduce competition on NDOT projects
- Increase costs of NDOT construction

Respectfully submitted,

Eric Reimschiissel

Eric M. Reimschiissel American Pavement Preservation 4725 East Cartier Avenue Las Vegas Nevada 89115 Office Phone 702-507-5444 Direct Phone 702-507-5410 Cell Phone 702-249-5811 Fax Line 702-644-0128 Alt e-mail <u>ericr@americanslurry.com</u>

From:	Rob Kowalczik		
То:	PublicComment		
Subject:	Requiring Project Labor Agreements		
Date:	Monday, April 13, 2020 2:09:17 PM		
Attachments:	image001.png		
	image002.png		
	image003.png		
	image004.png		
	image005.png		
	image006.png		
	image007.png		
	image008.png		

To whom it may concern

Requiring Project Labor Agreements will:

- Limit the number of contractors pursuing this work
- Restrict the number of subcontractors available to perform NDOT Projects
- Require the employment of contractors from out of the State who may be signatory to agreements
- Reduce competition on NDOT projects
- Increase costs of NDOT construction

# Rob Kowalczik

President 5692 La Costa Canyon Ct., #105 Las Vegas, NV 89139 (702) 235-3000 - Cell (702) 614-4900 - Office (702) 258-7682 - Fax License #44324 Bid Limit: Unlimited www.robcoelectriclv.com



Electrical · Solar · Low Voltage





The Nevada Departments of Transpiration,

Please enter into the public comment that D&D Plumbing strongly apposes the use of a Project Labor Agreement on any public funded projects of any size. This practice can lead to the following:

- 1. PLAs will limit the number of bidders on a project and therefore take away and limit competitive bidding.
- 2. PLAs will also restrict subcontractors who could have bid the project and could also have done the work on that project.
- 3. This practice of using a PLA on a project would require the employment of out of state contractors who participate in a collective bargaining agreement, especially where these trades may not exist with collective bargaining (union trades).
- 4. This will, without a doubt increase the cost to projects within the State of Nevada.

Thank You **E. Dale Lowery**  *President* D&D Plumbing, Inc. 1655 Greg Ct. Sparks, NV 89431 (775) 358-2378 (775) 358-8135 fax Dear NDOT Board of Directors,

Please accept this message in **opposition** of requiring PLA's on NDOT Projects.

With the passage and enactment of the Apprenticeship Utilization Act, lower threshold for Prevailing Wage Requirements and other related Union Friendly regulations, we respectfully request that requiring PLA's NOT be implemented.

Likely results may include:

- Less competition on NDOT Projects which may result in higher costs for these projects.
- As a Public Body, you have a responsibility to spend public dollars efficiently. Limiting competition may be contrary to that responsibility.
- All contractors whether signatory or non-signatory must be NDOT approved and unless the project is below the current threshold, the Union rates of pay are required (Prevailing Wage) already.
- With the recent economic distress resulting from the COVID-19 Virus, now is not the time to pursue PLA's. The Private Construction Sector is already starting to experience distress. Requiring PLA's on publicly funded projects in a Right To Work State will limit the number of contractors even more than what the Apprenticeship Utilization Act has caused.
- In summary, this seems like a Union-Backed strategy to lock out non-signatory contractors and that should NOT be permitted.
- Tax revenues from all current streams are being impacted as a result of the economic slowdown due to the COVID-19 Pandemic. Doesn't seem like good responsible public policy to increase project costs by limiting public work to only Signatory Contractors or requiring Non-Signatory Contractors to temporarily enroll their employees in Union Contracts.

Again, we respectfully urge you to please oppose requiring PLA's on NDOT Projects.

Thank You & Best Regards.

Bob Fehling President 775-284-1964 (o) 775-690-2807 (c) 775-747-8314 (f)

www.versagrade.com



#### NDOT,

Please keep your projects open for fair competition by not requiring Project Labor Agreements for certain projects of any size. Doing this will limit competitive bidding and will ultimately drive up the cost of NDOT projects which will put undue burden on the taxpayer. Most NDOT projects are already prevailing wage so I do not understand the need for Project Labor Agreements. This will not protect workers rights and will ultimately cause jobs that could have gone to local workers and companies to go to out of state contractors and workers. Open and competitive bidding is the only way to protect government funds and create a fair market for all companies and workers to compete in. Please say no to Project Labor Agreements and protect a fair open market.

Thank you,

John Cary JCS Construction, LLC President Phone: 775-335-6500 Fax: 775-636-8574 john@jcsnevada.com To whom it may concern,

I am writing today to express my concern and state my opposition to proposed project labor agreements (PLA's) on NDOT projects.

PLA's exclude contractors like ours from bidding on projects. Helix Electric currently employs almost 500 people in the state of Nevada. A PLA would unfairly remove our company from participating and, in turn, threaten those jobs.

In addition, NDOT would have fewer bids on their projects thereby reducing competition and increasing cost.

Helix Electric is a non-union contractor. We provide our employees with benefits and training opportunities beyond the industry standard. Through our memberships in organizations such as Associated Builders and Contractors and Associated General Contractors we are continually developing a skilled workforce. This allows us to consistently perform work in a high-quality and safe manner.

We ask that you do not approve implementation of PLA's on NDOT projects.

Thank you,

**Darren Vanderford**, **CM-Lean** | Vice President Helix Electric | <u>www.helixelectric.com</u> 961 Matley Lane, Suite 190 Reno, NV 89502 Office: 775-440-2391 | Cell: 775-376-3235 Email: <u>dvanderford@helixelectric.com</u>





From:	Dowd, Brian		
То:	PublicComment		
Subject:	Project Labor Agreements		
Date:	Monday, April 13, 2020 2:59:45 PM		
Attachments:	image003.png		
	image004.png		
	image002.png		
	image006.png		

To Whom it May Concern:

I am writing in response to the presentation made by Deputy Director Cole Mortenson regarding Project Labor Agreements (PLAs) at this morning's Department of Transportation Board of Directors Meeting. Granite Construction Company (Granite) was incorporated in 1922 and we have been doing work with our union workforce in the State of Nevada for almost 40 years. We have a long history of building successful projects for NDOT, with no work stoppages in recent memory from strikes or jurisdictional disputes. As long as a PLA does not change any terms or conditions in our Master Labor Agreements we collectively bargain with our union partners we are not opposed to signing them on individual projects. However, Granite believes that introducing PLAs into the procurement process would likely add time and potentially additional cost without a requisite benefit to that project. Therefore we are concerned that this would not be a good use of the taxpayers money in that it may delay and/or reduce available funding for the critical improvements needed for our state's infrastructure.

Thank you,

Brían

#### **Brian Dowd**

Vice President Regional Manager Nevada Operations

1900 Glendale Avenue Sparks, NV 89431 Direct 775-352-1902 Cell 916-214-7227 brian.dowd@gcinc.com www.graniteconstruction.com





NDOT Board,

This letter is in opposition to the proposed Project Labor Agreement presentation you heard today. PLAs will only hurt the State of Nevada and Nevada Contractors.

We are a small, specialty DBE, non-union contractor that has worked on NDOT projects for over 30 years. We believe adopting a PLA will greatly impact our company and hurt many other non-union contractors. In these difficult economic times, everyone should be allowed to bid on NDOT projects. This keeps the cost of projects in check and supports our local contractors and community. Adopting PLA's will be very destabilizing.

We are extremely concerned by this prospect and ask that you reject the PLA proposal. It's bad for NDOT, Nevada and Nevada contractors.

Thank you for your consideration,

Kym Kelley CEO

Kym Kelley CPESC, CISEC, QSP kym@kelleyerosioncontrol.com

## KELLEY EROSION CONTROL, INC.PIA

2395-B Tampa Street Reno, NV 89512 (775) 322-7755 Fax (775) 322-6606 Cell (775) 530-8433

From:	Burke, Chris		
То:	PublicComment		
Subject:	PLA Agenda Item		
Date:	Monday, April 13, 2020 3:07:45 PM		
Attachments:	image001.png		
	image002.png		
	image003.png		
	image004.png		
	image005.png		
	image006.png		
	image007.png		
	image009.png		
	image011.png		
	image013.png		
Importance:	High		

#### NDOT Board,

I'm writing to provide you **opposition** to imposing PLA's on future NDOT work. The following reasons are meant to describe the apparent lack of benefit and inherent risks associated with such action:

- 1. Little to no benefit based on the described intent because the vast majority of NDOT contracts are one season (maybe two), especially in Northern Nevada, thus it would seem inappropriate and lack a fundamental argument to establish PLA's for said work
- 2. A PLA likely reduces competition, not just for general contractors but subcontractors as well, specifically competition from non-signatory firms
- 3. There is already a significant presence by union firms, on NDOT contracts, especially the large mulit-year projects
- 4. Projects built by union firms fundamentally establish a collectively bargained labor force anyways
- 5. Likely to see increased costs for NDOT contracts, due to these agreements requiring a lot more management for all contractors
- 6. Increases complexity with undue administrative burden on contractors, especially for SBE/DBE firms, making it that much more difficult to get adequate coverage from disadvantaged business.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,

(1. Bie

Chris Burke Vice President / Regional Manager Intermountain Slurry Seal, Inc. 1120 Terminal Way Reno, NV 89502

Direct: 775-352-1938 Ext. 21938 Cell: 775-813-0907 Email: <u>chris.burke@gcinc.com</u>

www.intermountainslurryseal.com www.graniteconstruction.com









I am against more regulations, specifically this one. It will eliminate a large amount of General Contractors bidding your projects and costing taxpayers more money.

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Launa Coleman
То:	PublicComment
Subject:	Project Labor Agreements
Date:	Monday, April 13, 2020 3:21:36 PM
Attachments:	image001.png
Importance:	High

#### Hello,

I would like to state my opposition to the Project Labor Agreements (PLA's) on NDOT projects over a specific amount for the following reasons: it will ultimately limit the number of general contractors pursing the work, restrict the number of subs available to perform NDOT projects, require the employment of contractors from out of State, reduce competition on NDOT projects and increase costs of NDOT construction.

Thank you for your time and please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Frank M. Lepori, President 775.337.2063 ext. 101



**Confidentiality Notice:** This communication and any attachment(s) it contains are for the use of the intended recipient(s) only and may contain confidential, proprietary and privileged information that may be exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient(s) and have received this in error, you are hereby advised that any review, retention, copying, disclosure or distribution of the communication or any portion thereof is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by replying to this message and delete this message and any attachments from your computer. All efforts have been made to verify this email and any attachments are virus free, however, we cannot accept any liability.



April 13, 2020

#### **VIA EMAIL**

TO: NDOT 1263 South Stewart Street Carson City, Nevada 89712

FROM: KOR Building Group LLC 2670 E Chandler Ave #10 Las Vegas, NV 89120

#### RE: PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS OVER A SPECIFIC AMOUNT

#### TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

In reference to the presentation to consider Project Labor Agreement's on all construction projects over a specific amount. Although, an amount was not agreed to, as a Certified Woman Owned General Contractor, I am in complete opposition of the limitation this would present for the following reasons:

- 1. Limit the number of general contractors pursuing this work
- 2. Restrict the number of subcontractors available to perform NDOT Projects
- 3. Require the employment of contractors from out for the State who may be signatory to agreements
- 4. Reduce competition on NDOT projects
- 5. Increase const of NDOT construction

Thank you for taking time in this Matter,

Sincerely,

Rebecca Fountain,

CEO

KOR BUILDING GROUP LLC

NCA Executive Board

From:	Chris.Koenig
То:	PublicComment
Subject:	Project Labor Agreements
Date:	Monday, April 13, 2020 3:39:11 PM

To Whom it May Concern,

Regarding the presentation on Project Labor Agreements at the Transportation Board Meeting today, without further information, Kiewit would be in opposition to the proposed requirement.



**CHRIS KOENIG** 

KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE WEST CO. 8965 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 370, Las Vegas, NV 89123 (702) 560-2277 (602) 315-8788 cell Chris.Koenig@Kiewit.com

# **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Received via On-Line Public Comment Form

#### Nevada Department of Transportation **Board of Directors Meeting** April 13, 2020

Start time:	4/13/2020 1:57:20 PM
-------------	----------------------

Completion time: 4/13/2020 2:06:58 PM

Name:	Alexis Motarex	Email:	anonymous
Representing:	Nevada Chapter AGC		
Agenda Item:	12		
Position:	No position stated - Concerned or Neutral		
Total # of Words:	310		
Comment	<ul> <li>310</li> <li>AGC has long been an advocate for prevailing wage, ensuring employees are covered by worker compensation, and supported the recently approved definition of "bona fide" fringe benefits in Nevada law.</li> <li>Our association, and members, believe that all employees on any construction project deserve to be treated fairly and equitably. We have also long partnered with the Nevada State Contractors Boar to prosecute unlicensed contractors and punish those contractors working outside of their license and monetary limits.</li> <li>We believe that Nevada has strong laws for the performance of public works construction project which ensure construction workers are paid fairly and subcontractors are treated equitably. We believe that the law also provides the necessary punitive measures, including debarment, for an contractor not adhering to Nevada's expectations.</li> <li>We will continue to work with NDOT to strengthen the laws and regulations to provide the most fa and consistent working rules for transportation projects. It is our opinion that requiring adherence to any rules outside of those found in NRS 338 and 624 are unwarranted.</li> </ul>		

# **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Received via On-Line Public Comment Form

#### Nevada Department of Transportation Board of Directors Meeting April 13, 2020

Start time:	4/13/2020 2:59:17 PM

Completion time: 4/13/2020 3:02:12 PM

Name:	Cory Podnar	Email:	anonymous
Representing:	Asphalt Protectors, Inc		
Agenda Item:	12		
Position:	In opposition		
Total # of Words:	69		
Comment	This is a special interest scheme that ends projects. PLAs drive up the cost of construct non-union contractors and their skilled emp dollars. We need to maintain fairness in gover and costly government-mandated project I projects.	tion by reducir loyees from bu mment contrac	ng competition and effectively excluding uilding projects paid for by their own tax cting by restricting these anti-competitive