



TABLE OF CONTENTS



Table of Contents

Signature Page/Abstract	
Abbreviations and Acronyms	xii
Executive Summary	ES-1
Preferred Alternative	ES-1
Information About the Final EIS	ES-1
Description of the Proposed Action	ES-3
Why is the Project Needed?	ES-4
Alternatives Considered	ES-5
Environmental Impacts	ES-6
Proposed Mitigation	ES-8
Economic Impact	ES-11
Other Federal or State Actions Required	ES-12
Executive Summary References	ES-13
CHAPTER 1 Why is the Project Needed?	1-1
Project Location and Study Limits	1-2
Project History and Relationship to Other Regionally Important Projects	1-3
Why is this Project Needed?	1-5
ONE: Reduce Travel Delay	1-6
TWO: Improve Safety	1-16
THREE: Bridge Condition	1-19
FOUR: Importance of I-80 and I-580/US 395 in the Regional Transportation System	1-19
Logical Termini and Independent Utility	1-21
Public, Local Government, Tribal, and Agency Input on the Need for the Project	1-22
Purpose of the Project	1-23
References	1-24
CHAPTER 2 Range of Alternatives Considered and Identification of the Preferred Alternative	2-1
Chapter Summary	2-1
Transportation Management Alternatives	2-2
Transportation System Management Alternative	2-2
Travel Demand Management Alternative	2-2
Alternatives Development Process	2-3
Step One Develop Initial Concepts	2-4
Step Two Develop Preliminary Freeway Alternatives	2-6
Step Three Identify Alternatives to be Studied in Detail in the Draft EIS	2-13
Identify the Preferred Alternative	2-27
Local Agency Evaluation During Draft EIS	2-30
Construction Phasing	2-31
Summary and Final EIS Update	2-31
CHAPTER 3 Existing Conditions, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures	3.1-1
3.1 Introduction	3.1-1
Study Area	3.1-2
Impacts	3.1-3
Areas of No Impact	3.1-3
Other Approvals Needed	3.1-4
3.2 Community Resources	3.2-1
Existing Conditions	3.2-2
Community Resources Impacts	3.2-13
Measures to Minimize and Mitigate Adverse Community Impacts	3.2-32
Community Resources References	3.2-40
3.3 Environmental Justice	3.3-1
Regulatory Framework	3.3-2
Existing Conditions	3.3-2
Impacts to Low-Income and Minority Populations	3.3-5
Measures to Minimize and Mitigate Adverse Low-Income and Minority Populations Impacts	3.3-10
Offsetting Benefits	3.3-14
Determination	3.3-14
Proceeding When There Are Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects	3.3-15
Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964	3.3-19
Environmental Justice References	3.3-21

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.4	Traffic Noise	3.4-1
	Existing Conditions	3.4-2
	Traffic Noise Impacts.....	3.4-8
	Measures to Minimize and Mitigate Adverse Traffic Noise Impacts	3.4-10
	Traffic Noise References	3.4-16
3.5	Air Quality	3.5-1
	Existing Conditions.....	3.5-2
	Air Quality Impacts.....	3.5-3
	Measures to Minimize and Mitigate Adverse Air Quality Impacts.....	3.5-6
	Air Quality References.....	3.5-7
3.6	Transportation Services.....	3.6-1
	Transportation Planning Compatibility	3.6-1
	Existing Conditions.....	3.6-3
	Transportation Services Impacts.....	3.6-5
	Measures to Minimize and Mitigate Adverse Transportation Impacts	3.6-15
	Transportation Service References.....	3.6-16
3.7	Visual Character/Aesthetics	3.7-1
	Existing Conditions.....	3.7-1
	Visual Character/Aesthetics Impacts.....	3.7-4
	Visual Character/Aesthetics References.....	3.7-12
3.8	Water Resources	3.8-1
	Existing Conditions.....	3.8-1
	Water Resources Impacts	3.8-4
	Measures to Minimize and Mitigate Adverse Water Resources Impacts	3.8-7
	Water Resources References.....	3.8-9
3.9	Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fish	3.9-1
	Existing Conditions.....	3.9-1
	Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fish Impacts.....	3.9-4
	Measures to Minimize and Mitigate Adverse Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fish Impacts	3.9-7
	Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fish References.....	3.9-9
3.10	Hazardous Materials	3.10-1
	Existing Conditions.....	3.10-1
	Hazardous Materials Impacts.....	3.10-2
	Measures to Minimize and Mitigate Adverse Hazardous Materials Impacts.....	3.10-3
	Hazardous Materials Reference	3.10-4

3.11	Cultural Resources	3.11-1
	Background	3.11-1
	Existing Conditions.....	3.11-2
	Cultural Resources Impacts.....	3.11-9
	Measures to Minimize and Mitigate Adverse Impacts to Cultural Resources.....	3.11-12
3.12	Indirect Effects and Cumulative Impacts.....	3.12-1
	Indirect Effects	3.12-1
	Cumulative Impacts	3.12-3
	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	3.12-4
	Existing Conditions, Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures	3.12-12
	Cumulative Effects References	3.12-20
3.13	Relationship of Local and Short-Term Uses Versus Long-Term Productivity	3.13-1
	Short-Term Effects of the Proposed Project.....	3.13-1
	Long-Term Effects of the Proposed Project	3.13-1
3.14	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitments of Resources	3.14-1

CHAPTER 4 Public and Agency Involvement Summary and List of Recipients to Whom Notice of the Environmental Impact Statement Availability was Sent 4-1

Public Involvement and Agency Coordination After Draft EIS Approval	4-1
Introduction	4-1
Public Hearing	4-1
Advertising and Notices	4-2
Draft EIS Comments Received During Draft EIS Availability Period	4-2
Public Involvement and Agency Coordination Prior to Draft EIS Approval	4-13
Public Meetings.....	4-13
Environmental Justice Outreach	4-13
Businesses and Other Stakeholder Outreach.....	4-14
Reno-Sparks Indian Colony	4-14
Project Website	4-14
Agency Coordination.....	4-14
List of Recipients to Whom Notice of the Final Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision was Sent	4-15

CHAPTER 5 List of Preparers 5-1

CHAPTER 6 Final Section 4(f) Evaluation and Section 6(f) Assessment.....6-1

- 6.1 Introduction6-1
- 6.2 Regulatory Background and Study Methodology6-1
 - 6.2.1 Section 4(f) of USDOT Act of 1966 6-1
 - 6.2.2 Types of Section 4(f) Properties 6-2
 - 6.2.3 Section 4(f) Determinations 6-2
 - 6.2.4 Section 4(f) Evaluation Process 6-2
 - 6.2.5 Section 4(f) Use Definitions and Requirements..... 6-3
 - 6.2.6 Section 6(f) 6-4
- 6.3 Project Purpose and Need6-5
- 6.4 Description of Section 4(f) Resources in the Study Area.....6-5
 - 6.4.1 Park and Recreation Resources 6-5
 - 6.4.2 Historic Resources in the Study Area..... 6-11
- 6.5 Section 4(f) Resources Where No Use Would Occur..... 6-19
 - 6.5.1 Park and Recreation Resources 6-19
 - 6.5.2 Historic Resources 6-43
- 6.6 Section 4(f) Resources Where a Use Would Occur 6-44
 - 6.6.1 Resources with a *De Minimis* Use Determination 6-44
 - 6.6.2 Resources with a Programmatic Use Determination..... 6-51
 - 6.6.3 Resources with an Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation Use Determination..... 6-54
- 6.7 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses by Alternative..... 6-56
- 6.8 Avoidance Alternatives/Least Harm Analysis 6-57
 - 6.8.1 Avoidance Alternatives Analysis..... 6-57
 - 6.8.2 Least Harm Analysis..... 6-59
- 6.9 Coordination 6-68
- 6.10 Section 6(f) Assessment 6-68
 - 6.10.1 Section 6(f) Impact 6-68
 - 6.10.2 Section 6(f) Mitigation or Replacement 6-69
- 6.11 References..... 6-70

List of Figures

Executive Summary ES-1

Figure ES-1. Study Area ES-3

CHAPTER 1 Why is the Project Needed? 1-1

Figure 1-1. Project Location 1-2

Figure 1-2. Regionally Important Projects in the Vicinity of the Study Area 1-3

Figure 1-3. Existing (2016) and Future (2040) Traffic Volumes 1-6

Figure 1-4. Major Arterials with Four or More Lanes and 35-mph Posted Speed Limit Within the McCarran Loop 1-7

Figure 1-5. Traffic Speeds during the Existing (2016) and Future (2040) Morning Rush Hours 1-8

Figure 1-6. Traffic Speeds during the Existing (2016) and Future (2040) Afternoon Rush Hours 1-8

Figure 1-7. Sources of Highway Congestion 1-9

Figure 1-8. Bottleneck Due to Dropped Lane 1-10

Figure 1-9. Inappropriate Lane Drop in Interchange Area 1-10

Figure 1-10. Locations Where Deficient Capacity Causes Delays 1-10

Figure 1-11. Existing Spaghetti Bowl 1-11

Figure 1-12. Interchange and Ramp Spacing 1-13

Figure 1-13. Traffic Exiting to Glendale Avenue 1-14

Figure 1-14. Crash Types in the Study Area 1-16

Figure 1-15. Crash Severity in the Study Area 1-17

Figure 1-16. Total Crashes by Severity, 2011 to 2015 (including ramps and ramp terminals) 1-17

Figure 1-17. Study Area Crash Rates Compared to the Statewide Average 1-18

Figure 1-18. Total Crash Rates Along the Freeways in the Study Area (2011 – 2015) 1-18

Figure 1-19. Study Area Crash Rates Compared to the Statewide Critical Crash Rate 1-18

Figure 1-20. Horizontal Clearance and Substandard Shoulders 1-19

Figure 1-21. FHWA High-Priority Corridors 1-19

CHAPTER 2 Range of Alternatives Considered and Identification of the Preferred Alternative. 2-1

Figure 2-1. Alternative 2 Revisions - Second Street/Glendale Avenue & Mill Street 2-9

Figure 2-2. Alternative 2 Revisions - Freeway-to-Freeway Interchange 2-9

Figure 2-3. Alternative 3 Revisions - Center Street & Virginia Street 2-10

Figure 2-4. Alternative 3 Revisions - Second Street/Glendale Avenue & Mill Street 2-10

Figure 2-5. Alternative 2 & 3 Revisions - Clear Acre Lane & North McCarran Boulevard 2-11

Figure 2-6. Modified I-580 Southbound Direct-Connect Ramp to Airport as Part of Alternative 2 2-12

Figure 2-7. Alternatives I-80, Sierra Street, Virginia Street, and Center Street 2-16

Figure 2-8. Alternatives I-80, Wells Avenue 2-17

Figure 2-9. Alternatives I-80, Fourth Street to Kietzke Lane 2-18

Figure 2-10. Alternatives I-80, Rock Boulevard to Pyramid Way 2-19

Figure 2-11. Alternatives US 395, McCarran Boulevard to Clear Acre Lane 2-20

Figure 2-12. Alternatives US 395, Oddie Boulevard 2-21

Figure 2-13a. Alternatives Spaghetti Bowl 2-22

Figure 2-13b. Alternatives Spaghetti Bowl 2-23

Figure 2-14. Alternatives I-580, Mill Street to Second Street 2-24

Figure 2-15. Alternatives I-580, Plumb Lane 2-25

Figure 2-16. Alternatives I-580, Virginia Street to Moana Lane 2-26

CHAPTER 3 Existing Conditions, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures 3.1-1

Figure 3.1-1. Study Area 3.1-2

Figure 3.2-1. Land Uses around the Spaghetti Bowl 3.2-2

Figure 3.2-2. Existing Land Use in the Study Area 3.2-2

Figure 3.2-3. Area North of the Spaghetti Bowl with Residences both East and West of US 395 3.2-4

Figure 3.2-4. Major Employers in Reno and Sparks 3.2-6

Figure 3.2-5. The Nugget Casino Resort (inset photo shows I-80 crossing over the casino) 3.2-6

Figure 3.2-6. Publicly Owned or Social Service Agencies and Emergency Service Providers 3.2-7

Figure 3.2-7. Residential Areas, Parks, Schools, and Library in the Northeast Neighborhood 3.2-8

Figure 3.2-8. University of Nevada, Reno and North Side of Downtown Reno 3.2-9

Figure 3.2-9. Nevada Agricultural Experiment Station Valley Road Field Lab on the North Side of I-80 at Wells Avenue 3.2-9

Figure 3.2-10. Downtown Sparks 3.2-10

Figure 3.2-11. Redevelopment along Victorian Avenue in Downtown Sparks 3.2-10

Figure 3.2-12. Reno-Sparks Indian Colony 3.2-11

Figure 3.2-13. Walmart on Reno-Sparks Indian Colony Land, Looking Southeast across I-580 3.2-12

Figure 3.2-14A. Residential and Business Displacements (1 of 9) 3.2-19

Figure 3.2-14B. Residential and Business Displacements (2 of 9) 3.2-20

Figure 3.2-14C. Residential and Business Displacements (3 of 9) 3.2-21

Figure 3.2-14D. Residential and Business Displacements (4 of 9) 3.2-22

Figure 3.2-14E. Residential and Business Displacements (5 of 9) 3.2-23

Figure 3.2-14F. Residential and Business Displacements (6 of 9) 3.2-24

Figure 3.2-14G. Residential and Business Displacements (7 of 9).....	3.2-25	Figure 3.6-8. Victorian Avenue under Alternative 1	3.6-13
Figure 3.2-14H. Residential and Business Displacements (8 of 9).....	3.2-26	Figure 3.7-1A. Example of a View of I-80 from an Adjacent Area Higher in Elevation.....	3.7-2
Figure 3.2-14I. Residential and Business Displacements (9 of 9).....	3.2-27	Figure 3.7-1B. Example of a View of I-580 from an Adjacent Area Similar in Elevation	3.7-2
Figure 3.2-15. Alternatives 1, 2 (Preferred Alternative), and 3 by the Reno-Sparks Indian Colony	3.2-28	Figure 3.7-1C. Example of a View Toward I-580 from an Adjacent Area Lower in Elevation	3.7-2
Figure 3.2-16. Alternative 1 Impacts on Walmart at the I-580 and Second Street/Glendale Avenue Interchange.....	3.2-29	Figure 3.7-2. Example of Traffic Noise Barrier	3.7-3
Figure 3.3-1. Minority Populations in the Environmental Justice Study Area.....	3.3-3	Figure 3.7-3. Butler Street and Adjacent Traffic Noise Barriers next to the Spaghetti Bowl.....	3.7-3
Figure 3.3-2. Low-Income Populations within the Environmental Justice Study Area.....	3.3-4	Figure 3.7-4A. Existing Condition – View of US 395 Looking East from Butler Street	3.7-6
Figure 3.3-3. Displaced Residences per Identified Environmental Justice Population Census Block Group Under Alternative 1	3.3-7	Figure 3.7-4B. Alternative 1 Visual Simulation – View of US 395 Looking East from Butler Street.....	3.7-6
Figure 3.3-4. Displaced Residences per Identified Environmental Justice Population Census Block Group Under Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)	3.3-8	Figure 3.7-4C. Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative) Visual Simulation – View of US 395 Looking East from Butler Street	3.7-6
Figure 3.3-5. Displaced Residences per Identified Environmental Justice Population Census Block Group Under Alternative 3	3.3-8	Figure 3.7-4D. Alternative 3 Visual Simulation – View of US 395 Looking East from Butler Street.....	3.7-6
Figure 3.3-6. Screening Wall Locations	3.3-13	Figure 3.7-5A. Existing Condition – View Looking East Along Fourth Street Toward I-580/South End of the Spaghetti Bowl.....	3.7-8
Figure 3.4-1A. Locations of Existing Traffic Noise Barriers (East-West).....	3.4-3	Figure 3.7-5B. Alternative 1 Visual Simulation – View Looking East Along Fourth Street Toward I-580/South End of the Spaghetti Bowl	3.7-8
Figure 3.4-1B. Locations of Existing Traffic Noise Barriers (East-West)	3.4-4	Figure 3.7-6A. Existing Condition – I-580 Truckee River Crossing Looking East from Kietzke Lane Bridge	3.7-9
Figure 3.4-2A. Locations of Existing Traffic Noise Barriers (North-South).....	3.4-5	Figure 3.7-6B. Alternative 1 Visual Simulation – I-580 Truckee River Crossing Looking East from Kietzke Lane Bridge	3.7-9
Figure 3.4-2B. Locations of Existing Traffic Noise Barriers (North-South)	3.4-6	Figure 3.7-6C. Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative) Visual Simulation – I-580 Truckee River Crossing Looking East from Kietzke Lane Bridge	3.7-9
Figure 3.4-2C. Locations of Existing Traffic Noise Barriers (North-South)	3.4-7	Figure 3.7-6D. Alternative 3 Visual Simulation – I-580 Truckee River Crossing Looking East from Kietzke Lane Bridge	3.7-9
Figure 3.4-3A. Preferred Alternative (Alternative 2) Traffic Noise Barriers and Screening Walls (East-West)	3.4-11	Figure 3.7-7A. Existing Aesthetic Treatments	3.7-10
Figure 3.4-3B. Preferred Alternative (Alternative 2) Traffic Noise Barriers and Screening Walls (East-West)	3.4-12	Figure 3.7-7B. Existing Aesthetic Treatments	3.7-11
Figure 3.4-4A. Preferred Alternative (Alternative 2) Traffic Noise Barriers and Screening Walls (North-South)	3.4-13	Figure 3.7-7C. Existing Aesthetic Treatments	3.7-11
Figure 3.4-4B. Preferred Alternative (Alternative 2) Traffic Noise Barriers and Screening Walls (North-South)	3.4-14	Figure 3.7-7D. Existing Aesthetic Treatments	3.7-11
Figure 3.4-4C. Preferred Alternative (Alternative 2) Traffic Noise Barriers and Screening Walls (North-South)	3.4-15	Figure 3.7-7E. Existing Aesthetic Treatments	3.7-11
Figure 3.6-1. New Connections between I-80 and Rock Boulevard with Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative).....	3.6-7	Figure 3.7-8. Walls to Mitigate Visual Impacts near the RSIC.....	3.7-12
Figure 3.6-2. Airport Access Changes with Alternative 1.....	3.6-7	Figure 3.8-1. Water Resources in the Study Area	3.8-2
Figure 3.6-3. Intercity Transit Routes to Centennial Plaza under Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative) ..	3.6-9	Figure 3.8-2. I-580 Stormwater Discharge Points into the Truckee River	3.8-2
Figure 3.6-4. Local Street Changes Around Ninth Street	3.6-11	Figure 3.8-3. Truckee River Floodway (Existing Condition).....	3.8-3
Figure 3.6-5. Local Streets at Villanova Drive and Plumb Lane under Alternatives 1 and 2	3.6-13	Figure 3.8-4. I-580 Bridges Associated with Alternatives 1, 2, and 3.....	3.8-8
Figure 3.6-6. Seventh Street between Valley Road and Wells Avenue with Alternative 3	3.6-13	Figure 3.8-5. Planned Water Quality Detention Basins	3.8-8
Figure 3.6-7. Center Street Bridge over I-80 Removed with Alternative 3	3.6-13	Figure 3.9-1. Upland Wildlife Habitat Impacts.....	3.9-4
		Figure 3.9-2. Truckee River Habitat Impacts.....	3.9-5
		Figure 3.12-1. Truckee River Diversion	3.12-19

CHAPTER 6 Final Section 4(f) Evaluation and Section 6(f) Assessment.....6-1

Figure 6-1. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Resources 6-7

Figure 6-2. Section 4(f) Historic Resources 6-11

Figure 6-3. Impacts to Whitaker Park under Alternative 1 6-19

Figure 6-4. Impacts to Whitaker Park under Alternative 2 6-20

Figure 6-5. Impacts to Whitaker Park under Alternative 3 6-21

Figure 6-6. Impacts to Evans Park under Alternative 1..... 6-22

Figure 6-7. Impacts to Evans Park under Alternative 2..... 6-23

Figure 6-8. Impacts to Evans Park under Alternative 3..... 6-24

Figure 6-9. Impacts to Fisherman’s Park #1 and #2, and River Trail under Alternative 2 6-25

Figure 6-10. Impacts to Fisherman’s Park #1 and #2, and River Trail under Alternative 3 6-27

Figure 6-11. Impacts to Anderson Park under Alternative 1 6-29

Figure 6-12. Impacts to Anderson Park under Alternative 2 6-30

Figure 6-13. Impacts to Anderson Park under Alternative 3 6-31

Figure 6-14. Impacts to Miguel Ribera Park under Alternative 1..... 6-32

Figure 6-15. Impacts to Miguel Ribera Park under Alternative 2..... 6-33

Figure 6-16. Impacts to Miguel Ribera Park under Alternative 3..... 6-34

Figure 6-17. Impacts to Bandstand Park under Alternative 2..... 6-35

Figure 6-18. Impacts to Bandstand Park under Alternative 3..... 6-36

Figure 6-19. Impacts to Lillard Park under Alternative 2 6-37

Figure 6-20. Impacts to Lillard Park under Alternative 3 6-38

Figure 6-21. Impacts to Sparks Memorial Park under Alternative 2..... 6-39

Figure 6-22. Impacts to Sparks Memorial Park under Alternative 3..... 6-40

Figure 6-23. Impacts to Poulakidas Park under Alternative 1..... 6-41

Figure 6-24. Impacts to Poulakidas Park under Alternative 2..... 6-42

Figure 6-25. Impacts to Poulakidas Park under Alternative 3..... 6-43

Figure 6-26. Impacts to Fisherman’s Park #1 and #2, and River Trail under Alternative 1 6-45

Figure 6-27. Impacts to Bandstand Park under Alternative 1..... 6-46

Figure 6-28. Impacts to Sparks Memorial Park under Alternative 1..... 6-47

Figure 6-29. Impacts to Sage Street Park under Alternative 1..... 6-51

Figure 6-30. Impacts to Sage Street Park under Alternative 2..... 6-52

Figure 6-31. Impacts to Sage Street Park under Alternative 3..... 6-53

Figure 6-32. Impacts to Fisherman’s Park #1 and #2, and River Trail under Alternative 1 6-54

Figure 6-33. Impacts to Lillard Park under Alternative 1 6-55

List of Tables

Executive Summary ES-1

Table ES-1. Impact Summary Table ES-6

Table ES-2. Mitigation Summary Table..... ES-8

CHAPTER 1 Why is the Project Needed? 1-1

Table 1-1. Project History, Other Projects in the Study Area, and Relationship to Other Regionally Important Projects 1-4

Table 1-2. Existing (2016) and Future (2040) Traffic Conditions 1-6

Table 1-3. Interchange Spacing in the Study Area..... 1-13

Table 1-4. Fatal and Injury Crashes along I-80 and I-580/US 395 by Location and Severity (2011 to 2015) 1-17

CHAPTER 2 Range of Alternatives Considered and Identification of the Preferred Alternative. 2-1

Table 2-1. Prior Studies Incorporated 2-4

Table 2-2. Concepts Considered 2-5

Table 2-3. Value Analysis Proposals 2-8

Table 2-4. No Build Alternative Spaghetti Bowl Interchange Configuration 2-14

Table 2-5. No Build Alternative Service Interchange Configurations 2-14

Table 2-6. Alternative 1 Spaghetti Bowl Interchange Configuration 2-14

Table 2-7. Alternative 1 Service Interchange Configurations..... 2-14

Table 2-8. Alternative 2 Spaghetti Bowl Interchange Configuration 2-15

Table 2-9. Alternative 2 Service Interchange Configurations..... 2-15

Table 2-10. Alternative 3 Spaghetti Bowl Interchange Configuration 2-15

Table 2-11. Alternative 3 Service Interchange Configurations..... 2-15

Table 2-12. Evaluation Data 2-28

Table 2-13. Composite Results of Independent Local Agency Evaluation 2-30

CHAPTER 3 Existing Conditions, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures 3.1-1

Table 3.1-1. Additional Laws, Regulations, and Guidance that Apply to the Spaghetti Bowl Project..... 3.1-4

Table 3.2-1. Population 3.2-3

Table 3.2-2. Demographics and Income 3.2-3

Table 3.2-3. Median Home Sales Price 3.2-4

Table 3.2-4. City of Reno Residential Permits Issued 3.2-5

Table 3.2-5. Community Resources Impacts 3.2-14

Table 3.2-6. Mitigation Measures for Community Impacts..... 3.2-32

Table 3.3-1. Minority Population..... 3.3-3

Table 3.3-2. Low-Income Population..... 3.3-4

Table 3.3-3. Impacts to Environmental Justice Populations 3.3-5

Table 3.3-4. Measures to Mitigate Impacts 3.3-10

Table 3.4-1. Traffic Noise Impacts (2040) 3.4-9

Table 3.4-2. Preferred Alternative Updated Traffic Noise Impacts (2040) 3.4-9

Table 3.4-3. Mitigation Measures for Traffic Noise Impacts 3.4-10

Table 3.5-1. Air Quality Impacts 3.5-3

Table 3.5-2. Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Impacts 3.5-6

Table 3.6-1. Summary of Relevant Transportation Plans..... 3.6-2

Table 3.6-2. Transportation Changes..... 3.6-6

Table 3.6-3. Mitigation Measures for Transportation Impacts..... 3.6-15

Table 3.7-1. Visual Character/Aesthetics Impacts 3.7-4

Table 3.7-2. Mitigation Measures for Visual Character/Aesthetic Impacts 3.7-10

Table 3.8-1. Streams and Ditches in the Study Area 3.8-1

Table 3.8-2. Water Resources Impacts 3.8-4

Table 3.8-3. Mitigation Measures for Water Resources Impacts 3.8-7

Table 3.9-1. Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fish Impacts 3.9-4

Table 3.9-2. Mitigation Measures for Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fish Impacts 3.9-7

Table 3.10-1. Hazardous Materials Impacts 3.10-2

Table 3.10-2. Mitigation Measures for Hazardous Materials Impacts..... 3.10-3

Table 3.11-1. Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effects 3.11-3

Table 3.11-2. Cultural Resources Impacts 3.11-9

Table 3.12-1. Resources Considered for Encroachment-Alteration Effects 3.12-2

Table 3.12-2. Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Actions 3.12-5

Table 3.12-3. Total Residences in Truckee Meadows Services Area (2000 and 2015)..... 3.12-12

Table 3.12-4. Projected Units Needed in Truckee Meadows Service Area (2035) 3.12-12

Table 3.12-5. Number of Home Sales in Reno in 2014 – 2015, by Income Level 3.12-13

Table 3.12-6. Cumulative Effects on Affordable Housing 3.12-14

Table 3.12-7. Number of Residential Displacements 3.12-14

Table 3.12-8. Potential Affordable Housing Cumulative Impacts Mitigation Measures 3.12-15

Table 3.12-9. Cumulative Effects on Lahontan Cutthroat Trout 3.12-18

Table 3.12-10. Lahontan Cutthroat Trout Cumulative Effects Mitigation Measures 3.12-19

CHAPTER 6 Final Section 4(f) Evaluation and Section 6(f) Assessment.....6-1

Table 6-1. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Resources in Project Study Area 6-6

Table 6-2. Section 4(f) Historic Resources in Study Area 6-12

Table 6-3. Summary of Section 4(f) Use Determinations 6-56

Table 6-4. Number of Use Determinations by Alternative 6-57

Table 6-5. Least Harm Analysis per 23 CFR 774.3(c) Factors 6-60

Table 6-6. Section 4(f)/Section 6(f) Coordination Meetings..... 6-68

Appendices (see USB drive inside the back cover of the paper versions of this EIS)

A	Existing Freeway Conditions Report	E	Community Involvement and Agency Coordination Prior to Draft EIS Approval
B	Development of the Freeway Reconstruction Alternatives	F	Section 4(f) Evaluation Supporting Information
	B.1 Initial Concepts Report		F.1 Temporary Occupancy Exception Concurrence Letter from City of Reno (for Fisherman’s Park #1 and River Trail)
	B.2 Alternatives Design Report		F.2 Exhibits: Project Alternative Impacts to Historic Resources
C	Traffic Analysis		F.3 Net Benefit Programmatic Findings and Concurrence Documentation (for Sage Street Park)
D	Resource Impact Reports		F.4 Section 106 Memorandum of Agreement
	D.1 Impact Assessment Methodology		F.5 Department of the Interior Final Section 4(f)/Section 6(f) Approval Letter
	D.2 Community Impacts Assessment	G	Responses to Draft EIS Comments
	D.3 Relocation Impact Analysis	H	Change in Control of Access Report
	D.4 Environmental Justice		
	D.5 Highway Traffic Noise		
	D.5A Preferred Alternative (Alternative 2) Highway Traffic Noise Report		
	D.5.B Highway Traffic Noise Report		
	D.6 Air Quality		
	D.7 Visual Effects		
	D.8 Aquatic Resource Inventory		
	D.9 Drainage Design Report		
	D.10 Biological Opinion		
	D.11 Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fish		
	D.12 Hazardous Material		
	D.12A Hazardous Materials Assessment Technical Memorandum		
	D.12B Naturally Occurring Asbestos and Erionite Technical Memorandum		
	D.13 Cultural Resources Assessment of Effects Report		
	D.14 Indirect and Cumulative Impacts		

PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK



ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS



Abbreviations and Acronyms

AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials	NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990	NPS	National Park Service
APE	area of potential effects	NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
CAV	connected and autonomous vehicle	NTP	Notice to Proceed
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	N-W	northbound-to-westbound
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	PM2.5	particulate matter less than 2.5 micrometers in diameter
dB	decibel	PM10	particulate matter less than 10 micrometers in diameter
dba	A-weighted decibel	RSIC	Reno-Sparks Indian Colony
DOI	U.S. Department of the Interior	RTC	Regional Transportation Commission of Washoe County
EB	eastbound	RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement	SB	southbound
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
E-S	eastbound-to-southbound	SR	State Route
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration	TDM	travel demand management
FOE	finding of effect	TMRPA	Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Agency
HOV	high-occupancy vehicle	TNM	Traffic Noise Model
I-580	Interstate 580	TRI Center	Tahoe Reno Industrial Center
I-80	Interstate 80	TSM	transportation system management
LUST	leaking underground storage tank	Uniform Act	Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended
LWCF	Land and Water Conservation Fund	UNR	University of Nevada, Reno
mph	miles per hour	US 395	U.S. Highway 395
MSATs	mobile source air toxics	U.S.C.	United States Code
M-VMT	million vehicle miles traveled	USDOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NAC	Noise Abatement Criteria	VA	value analysis
NB	northbound	VMT	vehicle miles traveled
NDOT	Nevada Department of Transportation	WB	westbound
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act of 1969	W-S	westbound-to-southbound
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act	YOE	year of expenditure
NNHP	Nevada Natural Heritage Program		

PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK