



## **Environmental Methodology**

## Tier 1 vs Tier 2

| Activities                                    | Tier 1 Environmental Review Process  | Tier 2 Project-level Environmental Reviews  |
|---|--|---|
| NEPA Class of<br>Action                       | Tier 1 EIS   | EIS, EA, or CE  |
| Purpose and Need                              | <ul> <li>Refine purpose and need from prior feasibility<br/>study</li> <li>Consider federal, state, regional, and local<br/>needs</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Refine purpose and need from Tier 1</li> <li>Address needs specific to proposed project</li> </ul>   |
| Alternatives<br>Development                   | <ul> <li>Develop, evaluate, and screen corridors</li> <li>Identify types of proposed transportation facilities</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Consider specific route alignment and configuration</li> <li>Identify potential design options</li> </ul>  |
| Engineering                                   | <ul> <li>Very conceptual design</li> <li>Typical sections for proposed facility</li> <li>Phased Implementation Plan for smaller proposed projects</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>More refined engineering (typically 15% to 30%)</li> <li>Detailed drawings, vertical profiles, and typical sections</li> <li>Access details and interchange design</li> </ul>                            |
| Impact Analysis<br>and Mitigation<br>Measures | <ul> <li>Broad, high-level analysis</li> <li>Relies heavily on readily-available information</li> <li>Primarily GIS-based</li> <li>Includes potential mitigation measures to address likely impacts</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Site-specific resource information, impacts, and mitigation requirements</li> <li>Analysis may tier off of Tier 1 documentation, as relevant</li> <li>Supports resource agency determinations</li> </ul> |
| Agency and Public                             | <ul> <li>Identifies key issues early</li> <li>Build consensus among regional stakeholders</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Maintenance of consensus-building through<br/>established relationships</li> <li>No surprises anticipated</li> </ul>   |
| Proposed Action                               | <ul> <li>Selects a Preferred Corridor (500 feet wide),<br/>type of transportation facility, and Phased<br/>Implementation Plan</li> </ul>  | Select well-defined route alignment location, configuration, and right-of-way requirements  |



## Tier 1 vs Tier 2

| Activities   | Tier 1 Environmental Review Process  | Tier 2 Project-level Environmental Reviews   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| ther Regulatory<br>ompliance                         | Information Obtained from Existing Sources /<br>Agencies Involved  | Detailed Studies and Impact Assessments / Agency Actions   |  |  |
| During Tier 1, no final determinations would be made |  |  |  |  |
| ection 7 -<br>ndangered<br>pecies Act                | Identifies threatened and endangered species<br>and critical habitat, using information from<br>United States Fish and Wildlife Service and<br>Nevada Department of Wildlife | <ul> <li>Presence/absence surveys, species protocol surveys, or additional field studies</li> <li>Biological Assessments as needed</li> <li>USFWS action to provide concurrence</li> </ul> |  |  |
| action 106   | Identifies potential high sensitivity cultural   | Implement Programmatic Agreement from Tier 1   |  |  |

| Section 7 -<br>Endangered<br>Species Act                     | <ul> <li>Identifies threatened and endangered species<br/>and critical habitat, using information from<br/>United States Fish and Wildlife Service and<br/>Nevada Department of Wildlife</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Presence/absence surveys, species protocol surveys, or additional field studies</li> <li>Biological Assessments as needed</li> <li>USFWS action to provide concurrence</li> </ul>  |
|--|--|---|
| Section 106 -<br>National<br>Historic<br>Preservation<br>Act | <ul> <li>Identifies potential high sensitivity cultural resources (i.e., historic and archaeological)</li> <li>Development of Section 106 Programmatic Agreement among Nevada State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), Tribes, and other Consulting Parties</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Implement Programmatic Agreement from Tier 1</li> <li>Historic and archaeological surveys</li> <li>Determinations of National Register of Historic Places eligibility</li> <li>Resolution of adverse effects among SHPO, Tribes, and other Consulting Parties</li> </ul> |
| Section 4(f) –<br>USDOT Act                                  | <ul> <li>Identifies properties protected by Section 4(f)         (i.e., publicly owned parks, recreation areas,         refuges, and historic sites)</li> <li>Determines risk of "use" of Section 4(f)         properties</li> </ul>                                     | <ul> <li>Detailed Section 4(f) Evaluation to determine potential de minimis or use determinations</li> <li>Extensive consultation with FHWA and officials with jurisdiction to identify type of impact</li> </ul>   |



## Tier 1 vs Tier 2

| Activities   | Tier 1 Environmental Review Process   | Tier 2 Project-level Environmental Reviews   |
|--|---|--|
| Section 6(f) – Land<br>and Water<br>Conservation<br>Fund Act | <ul> <li>Identifies recreational resources protected by<br/>Section 6(f) (i.e., established with Land and<br/>Water Conservation Funds)</li> <li>Determines risk of conversion of Section 6(f)<br/>properties to transportation use</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Detailed evaluation of impacts to Section 6(f) resources</li> <li>Development of a replacement plan for properties to be acquired and converted to transportation use in consultation with NPS</li> </ul>   |
| Section 404 -<br>Clean Water Act                             | <ul> <li>Identifies Waters of the U.S. (WOUS), other surface waters, and 100-year floodplains</li> <li>Includes a qualitative Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative (LEDPA) analysis and determination among corridors</li> <li>Early coordination with U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Delineation of jurisdictional WOUS</li> <li>Analysis of stormwater runoff and drainage requirements</li> <li>Includes formal quantitative LEDPA analysis to support preferred alternative, if an Individual Section 404 permit is required</li> <li>Continued consultation with USACE and NDEP for potential actions</li> </ul> |
| Clean Air Act  | <ul> <li>Identifies non-attainment areas and ambient air<br/>quality data</li> <li>Early coordination with U.S. Environmental<br/>Protection Agency (USEPA) and NDEP</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Project-level hot-spot analysis</li> <li>Regional air quality conformity analysis</li> <li>Potential actions Continued consultation with<br/>USEPA and NDEP for potential actions</li> </ul>  |
| Permit<br>Requirements                                       |   |  |
| All Permits  | <ul> <li>Identifies anticipated Clean Water Act and other<br/>permits based on assessment of likely impacts</li> <li>Includes early coordination with permitting<br/>agencies</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Includes draft permit applications for all required<br/>permits to meet the 90-day requirement for<br/>permit acquisitions from date of Record of<br/>Decision</li> </ul>   |
| 4  |   |  |

