

AGENDA

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (NDOT)

STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEES (STTAC)

MEETING

June 3, 2013

10:00 a.m.

Physical Meeting Location
NDOT Headquarters
Third Floor Conference Room
1263 S Stewart Street
Carson City Nevada

Video Conference Site #1
NDOT/RTC
Conference Room #127
600 S. Grand Central Parkway
Las Vegas Nevada

Video Conference Site #2
NDOT District III
District Conference Room
1951 Idaho Street
Elko Nevada

NOTIFICATION. The STTAC reserves the right to take items out of order, combine two or more agenda items for consideration, may remove an item from the agenda or delay discussion relating to an item on the agenda at any time.

Regular STTAC Meeting

1. **(For Possible Action) Call to order and determination of quorum for the Regular STTAC meeting**
2. **Public Comment**
The STTAC is prohibited by law from taking immediate action on or discussing issues raised by the public that are not listed on this agenda. Members of the public are allowed a maximum of three minutes to discuss an issue.

At this time any citizen in the audience may address the STTAC on any matter. No vote can be taken on a matter not listed on the posted agenda; however, the STTAC can direct that the matter be placed on a future agenda.

Each citizen must be recognized by the Chairman. The citizen is then to approach the microphone to state his or her name, and to spell the last name for the record. The Chairman may limit remarks beyond the three minutes' duration, if such remarks are disruptive to the meeting or not within the STTAC's jurisdiction.

The Nevada Department of Transportation keeps the official record of all proceedings of the meeting. In order to maintain a complete and accurate record, copies of documents used during presentation should be submitted to the Recording Secretary.

The Nevada Statewide Transportation Technical Advisory Committee appreciates the time citizens devote to be involved in this important process.

3. **(For Possible Action) Approval of the April 1, 2013 STTAC meeting minutes**
4. **Introduction to the Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) (Jim Nichols)**
The Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) is now being run through TMCC in Reno. Mr. Nichols will be on hand to discuss the program and to give a progress report of what we have done to date and what plans we have for the remainder of the year.

LTAP's charge is to offer training through a variety of platforms to Nevada city and county personnel in the areas of safety, infrastructure management and workforce development. Each state has an LTAP program similar to Nevada's. The programs work together by developing courses, publications, newsletters, webinars and other educational tools to offer the best training on timely subjects to local agencies, resulting in better and safer roads and highways for the traveling public.

5. **Update on 2013 Nevada Legislative Session (Sean Sever)**
The 2013 Nevada Legislative Session began on February 4, 2013. Staff will provide updated information about the 2013 Session.

6. **NDOT Planning**

- a. **Discussion on Amendments, Adjustments to the FY 2012-2015 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) document and the development of the FY 2012 - 2021 Transportation System Projects document (Jason Van Havel)**

Throughout the year the Transportation/Multimodal Planning Division amends and/or adjusts the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) document to reflect project changes across the state. Development of the Transportation System Projects document, which includes the STIP for the next fiscal year (FY 2013), begins in January and culminates with the draft document being presented annually to the State Transportation Board.

- b. **Discussion on Federal Transportation Funding for Fiscal Years 2013-2014 (Jason Van Havel)**
Staff will discuss potential funding balance estimates along with proposed projects for Federal Fiscal Years 2013-2014 along with Federal funding obligation information and the overall Department financial position.

- c. **Update on Nevada's Zero Fatalities Campaign (Ken Mammen)**
Zero Fatalities is a program about eliminating fatalities on our roadways. Some people may think zero is an impossible goal, but when it comes to your family and friends, what other number would be acceptable? We're aiming for zero fatalities because everyone matters.

Key emphasis areas that are contained in the Strategic Highway Safety Plan which will help achieve the goal of zero fatalities are: Seat Belts, Impaired Driving, Lane Departures, Intersections and Pedestrians.

Please go to www.zerofatalitiesnv.com for additional information.

- d. **(For Possible Action) Update of the NDOT Transportation Alternatives Program (Tim Mueller)**
There is a current call for projects for FY 2013-2014 TAP projects. Staff is seeking volunteers to sit on a review panel for a public meeting scheduled for July 29th. This meeting will be held to allow for statewide TAP applicants to provide a brief presentation, followed by questions from the panel. Scoring will also be completed at this meeting. Additionally, this meeting will be video conferenced.

An update will also be provided on the status of existing Transportation Enhancement projects.

- e. **(For Possible Action) Endorsement of the NDOT Transportation Alternative Program Guidance 2013 (Tim Mueller)**
Staff previously brought this document in front of the STTAC at the April 1, 2013 STTAC. An opportunity to review and comment was provided to the committee. Staff will discuss the changes to the document and ask for endorsement. The document can be reviewed at http://www.nevadadot.com/About_NDOT/NDOT_Divisions/Planning/Alternatives_Program.aspx
- f. **(For Possible Action) Endorsement of the NDOT Transportation Alternatives Scoring Criteria (Tim Mueller)**
NDOT staff has drafted objective scoring criteria to be used in ranking the statewide flex portion of the TA Projects for FY 2013-2014. This criteria is meant to remove any potential for bias from the scoring and ranking process.
- 7. **(For Possible Action) Future Agenda Item Discussion**
Discussion of items to be placed on future agendas.
- 8. **Public Comment**
The STTAC is prohibited by law from taking immediate action on or discussing issues raised by the public that are not listed on this agenda. Members of the public are allowed a maximum of three minutes to discuss an issue.
- 9. **(For Possible Action) Adjournment of Regular STTAC meeting**

NOTE: Reasonable efforts will be made to assist and accommodate physically handicapped persons desiring to attend the meeting. Requests for auxiliary aids or services to assist individuals with disabilities or limited English proficiency should be made with as much advance notice as possible to Tim Mueller, NDOT Planning Division at (775) 888-7351 or email tmueller@dot.state.nv.us.

Posting: This notice has been posted on/or before 9:00 a.m. on the third working day before the meeting at the following locations:

Carson City Library	Elko County Courthouse	Clark County Library
RTC of Southern Nevada	Washoe County Library	Washoe County RTC
TRPA	NDOT District I	NDOT District III
NDOT District III	NDOT Headquarters	www.nevadadot.com

Copies of the FY 2012-2021 Transportation System Projects-STIP Document are available for inspection and copying at the following NDOT District and Headquarters Planning Administration Offices listed below:

Planning Administration Office Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) 1263 S. Stewart St., Room 206 Carson City, NV 89712	District I Office NDOT 123 East Washington Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101
District II Office NDOT 310 Galletti Way Sparks, NV 89431	District III Office NDOT 1951 Idaho Street Elko, NV 89801

Note: **BOLD type** signifies the title of each agenda item. Discussion information is provided for additional clarification and/or background on each agenda item.

The STTAC website is available at http://www.nevadadot.com/About_NDOT/NDOT_Divisions/Planning/STTAC.aspx

DRAFT

STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Physical Meeting Location:
NDOT Headquarters
Third Floor Conference Room
1263 So. Stewart Street
Carson City, Nevada

Video-Conference Site #1:
NDOT /RTC
Conference Room #127
600 S. Grand Central Pkwy.
Las Vegas, Nevada

Video-Conference Site #2:
NDOT District III
District Conference Room
1951 Idaho Street
Elko, Nevada

April 1, 2013
10:00 a.m.
MINUTES

Members in Attendance:

Randy DeVaul, City of No. L.V. (video-conf/Dist I)
Loy Hixson, NBPAB (video-conf/Dist I)
Joanna Wadsworth, Clark County (video-conf/Dist I)
Randy Fultz, City of Las Vegas (video-conf/Dist I)
Pete Konesky, NV State Energy Office
Christian Passink, NV Dept. Tourism
Martyn James, RTC of So. NV (video-conf/Dist I)
Scott Jarvis, City of Henderson (video-conf/Dist I)
Raymond Hess, RTC of So. NV (video-conf/Dist I)

Steve Bunnell, City of Reno
Leah Sirmin, FHWA
Jon Ericson, City of Sparks
Keith Norberg, TMPO
Mark Davis, Nevada State Parks
Dan Doenges, CAMPO
Amy Cummings, Washoe RTC
Clara Lawson, Washoe County
Melanie Shasha, NDEP

NDOT Attendees:

Jason Van Havel, Trans. Multimodal Planning Chief
Sondra Rosenberg, Federal Programs
Melvin McCallum, Trans. Multimodal (video-conf/Dist I)
Ray Marshall, Trans. Multimodal (video-conf/Dist I)

Tim Mueller, Trans. Multimodal
Andrea Napoli, Trans. Multimodal
Paula Morton, Planning Administration

Others in Attendance:

Charlie Kajkowski, MWH
Lawrence Meeker, HNTB Corp.
Eugene Russell, R.E./C.M.

Scott Hall, NBPAB
Lolene Terry, HDR
Juan Balbuena, FHWA

REGULAR STTAC MEETING -

Item 1: Call to Order and Determination of Quorum*

Chairman Joanna Wadsworth called the STTAC meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. It was determined that a quorum was present.

Item 2: Public Comment

Scott Hall of the Nevada Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Board stated that he has been working on bicycle advocacy issues for the past few years and thanked NDOT and staff for improving the quality and safety of facilities around Nevada. Scott also reported that he attended the National Bicycle Summit in Washington, DC where the Secretary of Transportation Ray LaHood, commented that he is very excited about the MAP-21 process of improving the biking and pedestrian facilities in communities. The U.S. DOT is negotiating the final guidance of performance measures which are the key issues to keep in mind when proposing and building bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and increase mode share for bicycles

and pedestrians. Scott is working on bicycle treatments to show that bicycling is a form of transportation. The Washoe RTC is discussing new ways of measuring bicyclists in Washoe County for pre and post measurements of the effectiveness of the bicycle treatments.

Item 3: Approval of the February 4, 2013 Regular STTAC Meeting Minutes*

Steve Bunnell made a motion to approve the February 4, 2013 STTAC meeting minutes. Mark Davis seconded the motion. A vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously.

Item 4: Update on 2013 Nevada Legislative Session (Sean Sever)

Sean Sever was not present. Tim Mueller distributed handouts, *Current Status of NDOT Bills* and a fact sheet, *NDOT User Fees PPP Bill Summary*. The current bills of NDOT include; AB-15 removes the expiration date of the authority for NDOT to use the construction manager at risk method for construction, reconstruction, improvement and maintenance of highways, AB-18 revises provisions governing the relinquishment of state highways to local governments and the relinquishment of local roads to the Department of Transportation, AB-21 safety bill that revises provisions prohibiting open containers of alcoholic beverages in certain motor vehicles and revises provisions governing the requirements and procedures for reporting motor vehicle accidents, AB-447 revises provisions authorizing NDOT to allow the installation of signs that acknowledge the sponsorship of a rest area, AB-485 authorizes NDOT to enter into a public-private partnership to plan, design, construct, improve, finance, operate and maintain a transportation facility. Also authorizes the NDOT Board of Directors to establish user fees, administrative fines and other penalties and charges relating to the use of such a facility, and SB-14 authorizes the NDOT Director to reduce the maximum weight limits on certain highways under certain circumstances. Update concluded.

Item 5: Recommendation of Approval on the Connecting Nevada Study (Lolene Terry/Tim Mueller)

Joanna Wadsworth introduced former STTAC Chairman Charlie Kajkowski of MWH, in attendance today. Tim Mueller commented on Charlie Kajkowski's original vision for long-term transportation planning and thanked him for his insight, attending meetings, etc. Tim Mueller provided a PowerPoint presentation and stated that the Connecting Nevada Plan originated from a need to plan for Nevada's long-term transportation needs. The Plan defines transportation goals to make our economy more competitive, enhance our quality of life, and to ensure that our environment provides quality places for future generations to live. The Plan will be completed in mid-April with the final draft of the Study now ready for recommendation of approval by the STTAC. Sections 1-3 have been disseminated and the public meetings were held in Las Vegas, Reno and Elko in January. A central focus of the study has been the development of the Nevada travel demand model with input from the MPO's. The information will be on the NDOT website (www.connectingnevada.org) with a planning portal database for future Connecting Nevada projects. The Study will be presented to the State Transportation Board in the future. Lolene Terry of HDR explained the update process. She discussed how the update process will include reaching out to each of the stakeholder agencies, request them to sponsor projects in the plan, and provide a project information sheet and yearly update information on their particular project. This will help maintain a living document. The Connecting Nevada Plan is a three-part plan; the Connecting Nevada Process, Planning Tools, and the Planning Process. The state needs will be incorporated into the Plan with regular updates and an annual project update scheduled for January-March 2014. The presentation concluded. Charlie Kajkowski commented that he hopes that it is a living document and that the success of the work will lie in how the people of the future will use the document and continue planning for Nevada so that the state will be viable economically and accommodate tourism. Mr. Kajkowski stated that he was happy to be a part of this. Randy Fultz thanked Charlie Kajkowski and NDOT for the work and efforts. Joanna Wadsworth requested periodical updates and also thanked NDOT. Randy Fultz made a motion to approve the Connecting Nevada Study. Keith Norberg seconded the motion. A vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously.

Item 6: Discussion on Amendments, Adjustments to the FY 2012-2015 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) document and the development of the FY 2012-2021 Transportation System Projects document (Jason Van Havel)

Jason Van Havel stated that a list of amendments and modifications to the STIP were distributed to the Committee in the meeting packet. The project amendments included: the RTC of Southern Nevada submittal of their FY 2013-2016 TIP and the Clark Amendment #1 to the 2012-2015 STIP; the Tahoe MPO submittal of their FY 2013-2016 Federal Transportation Improvement Program adopts the TMPO FTIP and the TMPO Amendment #2 to the 2012-2015 STIP; and Statewide/Rural submittal of Statewide Amendment #5 to the 2012-2015 STIP. There were no administrative modifications. The discussion concluded.

Item 7: Update on NDOT Safety Funding (Ken Mammen)

Ken Mammen of NDOT Safety provided a slide presentation of the update on NDOT Safety Funding. Ken discussed the impact on safety which includes advanced information, street signage and road striping; resources which include the Highway Safety Manual at <http://www.highwaysafetymanual.org> and www.cmfclearinghouse.org; the timing of signals which influences the number of vehicle-vehicle conflicts, vehicle/bicycle-pedestrian conflicts; lessen crash severity by decreasing the likelihood of a vehicle striking something or other roadway features; and the impact on safety by lane departures. The update concluded. Discussion followed.

Item 8: Update of the Transportation Alternatives (TA) Working Group (Jason Van Havel)

Jason Van Havel provided an update of the Transportation Alternatives (TA) Working Group. Jason commented that there was a TA Working Group meeting held on February 4, 2013. Jason provided background and that the TA now includes the Transportation Enhancements, Safe Routes to School (SRTS) and Scenic Byways under one funding category. Items covered in the TA Working Group meeting included basic vision and goals, the TA Program statewide, background information, current eligibility issues, general direction and a guidance document, regional equity, administrative processes, past problems with SRTS and Transportation Enhancements, recommended policies, and potentially honoring historical commitments on the enhancement side and possibly using TAP funding to fund historical enhancements. The meeting provided NDOT staff some direction on how to proceed. The update concluded. Discussion followed.

Item 9: Update of the NDOT Transportation Alternatives Guidance (Jason Van Havel/Tim Mueller)

Tim Mueller provided an update of the NDOT Transportation Alternatives Guidance and stated that it is available on the updated Transportation Alternatives webpage. Tim acknowledged that in the beginning of the document's development, it was decided to not allow past issues to interfere with the present document. The Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) provides funds for projects that improve non-motorized mobility, historic preservation, scenic accessibility, Safe Routes to School programs, and environmental/vegetation management. Safety is also an important consideration in the development of projects. The Transportation Alternatives Guidance document was largely copied from another state and the intent was to shorten it from the Transportation Enhancement Program document of 31 pages. The new document should be easier to work through with the guidance and other resources included. Each annual funding cycle for new projects will consist of a two-step application process beginning with the Pre-Application. Tim commented that the document will be brought back before the STTAC and before the NBPAB at future meetings. The document also allows the smaller MPOs to have an "opt in/opt out" option of the statewide process. For the first cycle, TMPO has agreed to opt out and compete on a statewide basis and CAMPO has decided to take the percentage, the regional equity. The MPOs will need to make those decisions by April 1 of each calendar year. The STTAC will provide comments to Tim by May 1, 2013. The update concluded. Discussion followed.

Item 10: Update on I-80 Corridor Study Master Plan (Coy Peacock)

Coy Peacock provided a slide presentation update on the I-80 Corridor Study Master Plan. The corridor runs from San Francisco, California to Cheyenne, Wyoming with four states included in the project. The purpose of this program is to promote regional cooperation, planning and share project implementation for programs and projects to improve multimodal transportation systems, management and operations. Considerations for communities along the corridor include economical, social, cultural, declining fuel tax revenues, improving the corridor, generate a vision and plan, identify existing ideas that improve the corridor, establish a stakeholder supported system for strategically implementing the plan, and dialogue of the stakeholders and partners. Coy stated that they conducted a corridor-wide economic assessment which he highly recommended everyone read and it will also be available on the website. The plan is available on the website at www.i80vision.org. The update concluded. Discussion followed.

Item 11: Future Agenda Item Discussion

Future agenda items will include:

- Connecting Nevada update (future meeting)
- Revisit Safety funding/Statewide project lists (future meeting)
- Enhancement projects
- Legislative Session update

Tim Mueller announced that on September 16, 2013, a Special STTAC teleconference meeting will be held at 10:00 a.m. for approval of the TSP/STIP document.

Item 12: Public Comment

None at this time

Item 13: Adjournment of Regular STTAC Meeting*

Randy Fultz made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded. A vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 11:05 a.m.

/pm

**NEVADA STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
REQUEST FOR COMMITTEE ACTION**

Agenda Item # 4

Meeting Date: June 3, 2013
To: Statewide Transportation Technical Advisory Committee
From: Jim Nichols, Director, LTAP
Subject: Introduction to the Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP)

Type of Action Required: For Discussion Only

Discussion:

The Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) is now being run through TMCC in Reno. Mr. Nichols will be on hand to discuss the program and to give a progress report of what we have done to date and what plans we have for the remainder of the year.

LTAP's charge is to offer training through a variety of platforms to Nevada city and county personnel in the areas of safety, infrastructure management and workforce development. Each state has an LTAP program similar to Nevada's. The programs work together by developing courses, publications, newsletters, webinars and other educational tools to offer the best training on timely subjects to local agencies resulting in better and safer roads and highways for the travelling public.

LTAP works closely with other public agency organizations such as APWA, NACO and ASCE to promote better streets and highways in Nevada.

Staff will be on hand to answer any questions that the Committee may have.

Action Requested:
For Possible Action

**NEVADA STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
REQUEST FOR COMMITTEE ACTION**

Agenda Item # 5

Meeting Date: June 3, 2013
To: Statewide Transportation Technical Advisory Committee
From: Sean Sever
Subject: Update on 2013 Nevada Legislative Session
Type of Action Required: Discussion Only

Discussion:

The 2013 Nevada Legislative Session began on February 4, 2013. NDOT has a number of Bills and Bill Draft Requests under consideration.

If you hear of any BDRs or bills that may affect NDOT, please let our communications director Sean Sever know he can be reached either at 775-888-7278 or ssever@dot.state.nv.us. Also if you have any questions please feel free to contact Sean.

Action Requested:
Discussion only

**NEVADA STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
REQUEST FOR COMMITTEE ACTION**

Agenda Item # 6a

Meeting Date: June 3, 2013

To: Statewide Transportation Technical Advisory Committee

From: Jason Van Havel, NDOT Transportation/Multimodal
Planning Division

Subject: Discussion on Amendments, Adjustments to the FY 2012-2015 Statewide
Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) document and the
development of the FY 2012 - 2021 Transportation System Projects
document

**Type of
Action
Required:** Discussion Only

Discussion:

Throughout the year the Transportation/Multimodal Planning Division amends and/or adjusts the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) document to reflect project changes across the state. Development of the Transportation System Projects document, which includes the STIP for the next fiscal year (FY 2013), begins in January and culminates with the draft document being presented annually to the State Transportation Board.

The goal of this agenda item is to update the STTAC on the status of this year's document, and discuss the development of the next fiscal year's document.

Staff will be on hand to answer any questions that the Committee may have.

Action Requested:

Discussion only

**NEVADA STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
REQUEST FOR COMMITTEE ACTION**

Agenda Item # 6b

Meeting Date: June 3, 2013
To: Statewide Transportation Technical Advisory Committee
From: Jason Van Havel, NDOT Transportation/Multimodal
Planning Division
Subject: Discussion on Federal Transportation Funding for Fiscal Years 2013-
2014

**Type of
Action
Required:** Discussion Only

Discussion:
Staff will discuss potential funding balance estimates along with proposed projects for Federal Fiscal Years 2013-2014 along with Federal funding obligation information and the overall Department financial position.

Staff will be on hand to answer any questions that the Committee may have.

Action Requested:
Discussion only

**NEVADA STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
REQUEST FOR COMMITTEE ACTION**

Agenda Item # 6c

Meeting Date: June 3, 2013
To: Statewide Transportation Technical Advisory Committee
From: Ken Mammen
Subject: Update on Nevada's Zero Fatalities Campaign
Type of Action Required: For Discussion Only

Discussion:

Zero Fatalities is a program about eliminating fatalities on our roadways. Some people may think zero is an impossible goal, but when it comes to your family and friends, what other number would be acceptable? We're aiming for zero fatalities because every one matters.

Key emphasis areas that are contained in the Strategic Highway Safety Plan which will help achieve the goal of zero fatalities are: Seat Belts, Impaired Driving, Lane Departures, Intersections and Pedestrians.

Please go to www.zerofatalitiesnv.com for additional information.

Staff will be on hand to answer any questions that the Committee may have.

Action Requested:
For Possible Action

**NEVADA STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
REQUEST FOR COMMITTEE ACTION**

Agenda Item # 6d

Meeting Date: June 3, 2013
To: Statewide Transportation Technical Advisory Committee
From: Tim Mueller, NDOT Transportation/Multimodal
Planning Division
Subject: Update of the NDOT Transportation Alternatives Program

**Type of
Action
Required:** Discussion Only

Discussion:

There is a current call for projects for FY 2013-2014 TAP projects. Staff is further developing scoring criteria and is looking for some volunteers to sit on a review panel for a public meeting tentatively scheduled for July 29th. This meeting will be held to allow for statewide TAP applicants to provide a brief presentation, followed by questions from the panel. Scoring will also be completed at this meeting.

An update will also be provided on the status of existing Transportation Enhancement projects.

Staff will be on hand to answer any questions that the Committee may have.

Action Requested:
Discussion only

**NEVADA STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
REQUEST FOR COMMITTEE ACTION**

Agenda Item # 6e

Meeting Date: June 3, 2013
To: Statewide Transportation Technical Advisory Committee
From: Tim Mueller, NDOT Transportation/Multimodal
Planning Division
Subject: Endorsement of the NDOT Transportation Alternatives Guidance

**Type of
Action**

Required: For Possible Action

Discussion:

Staff previously brought this document in front of the STTAC at the April 1, 2013 STTAC. An opportunity to review and comment was provided to the committee. Staff will discuss the changes to the document and ask for endorsement. The document can be reviewed at http://www.nevadadot.com/About_NDOT/NDOT_Divisions/Planning/Alternatives_Program.aspx

Staff will be on hand to answer any questions that the Committee may have.

Action Requested:

For Possible Action

**NEVADA STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
REQUEST FOR COMMITTEE ACTION**

Agenda Item # 6f

Meeting Date: June 3, 2013
To: Statewide Transportation Technical Advisory Committee
From: Tim Mueller, NDOT Transportation/Multimodal
Planning Division
Subject: Endorsement of the NDOT Transportation Alternatives Scoring Criteria

**Type of
Action**

Required: For Possible Action

Discussion:

NDOT staff has drafted objective scoring criteria to be used in ranking the statewide flex portion of the TA Projects for FY 2013-2014. This criteria is meant to remove any potential for bias from the scoring and ranking process.

Staff will be on hand to answer any questions that the Committee may have.

Action Requested:
For Possible Action

Transportation Alternatives Program Project Scoring Criteria

Summary Sheet

Project Name _____

Project Sponsor _____

Committee Evaluator _____ Total Score (max.) _____

<i>Criteria</i>	<i>Possible Points (max.)</i>	Points Awarded
Quality of Life		
1. Degree to which the project meets cultural or intellectual conditions where you live (independent from material comfort)	40	0-10 X 4 =
2. Degree to which the project enhances economic standard of living	20	0-10 x 2 =
3. Degree to which the project is important and needed	20	0-10 x 2 =
4. Degree to which the users will benefit both in transportation connectivity and health	20	0-10 X 2 =
5. Degree to which project addresses multiple transportation alternatives categories	20	0-10 X 2=
Access, Location, Project Development and Maintenance		
1. Project ready to be constructed within one year	30	0-10 X3=
2. Degree to which project development will use grant funds to encourage/secure greater public or private investments i.e. labor services, materials, dedication of land or other contributions.	20	0-10 X2 =
3. Degree to which the project will involve inter-agency cooperation and involvement	10	0-10 X 1=
4. Degree to which project allows for access and use by persons of all abilities.	10	0-10 X 1=
5. Degree of community support for project	10	0-10 X 1=

Transportation Alternatives Program Project/Point Value Assessment

Worksheets

Criteria- Quality of Life	Points Possible
<p>1)Degree to which the project meets cultural or intellectual conditions where you live (independent from material comfort) Project allows for multiple choices (more than 3) of transport to jobs, school, and attractions. 8-10 Project allows for multiple choices (at least 2) of transport to jobs, school, and attractions. 4-7 Project allows for one mode of transport 1-3 Points awarded ____ x 4.0 (Weight) = _____score</p>	
<p>2)Degree to which the project enhances economic standard of living Project links more than four types of businesses together i.e. retail, commercial, warehousing, restaurants, education, government, offices etc. together 8-10 Project links more than two types of businesses together i.e. retail, commercial, warehousing, restaurants, education, government, offices etc. together 4-7 Project links ONLY one type of business together i.e. retail, commercial, warehousing, restaurants, education, government, offices etc. together 1-3 Points awarded ____ x 2.0 (Weight) = _____score</p>	
<p>3)Degree to which project is important and needed. Project meets an important and <u>identified</u> need by the community. 8-10 Project meets an important (only) need by the community. 4-7 Project importance not clearly identified. 1-3 Points awarded ____ x 2.0 (Weight) = _____score</p>	

Transportation Alternatives Program Project/Point Value Assessment

Worksheets

Criteria Quality of Life, Con't.	Possible Points
<p>4) Degree to which the users will benefit both in transportation connectivity and health Project will benefit more than two user types (children, older adults, bicyclists, and pedestrians) AND more than 50 users will be served by the project.</p> <p>Project will benefit one user type (children, older adults, bicyclists, and pedestrians) AND more than 25 users will be served by the project.</p> <p>Project benefits NOT clearly identified and served users not stated.</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 2.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>8-10</p> <p>4-7</p> <p>1-3</p>
<p>5) Degree to which project addresses multiple transportation alternatives categories Project will benefit six or more categories (see category sheet)</p> <p>Project will benefit four or more categories (see category sheet)</p> <p>Project will benefit two or more categories (see category sheet)</p> <p>Project will not address any categories</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 2.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>8-10</p> <p>4-7</p> <p>1-3</p> <p>0</p>

Criteria Access, Location, Project Development and Maintenance	Points Possible
<p>1. Project ready to be constructed within one year</p> <p>If yes</p> <p>If no</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 3.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>10</p> <p>0</p>

Transportation Alternatives Program Educational Scoring Criteria

Summary Sheet

Project Name _____

Project Sponsor _____

Committee Evaluator _____ Total Score (max.) _____

<i>Criteria</i>	<i>Possible Points (max.)</i>	Points Awarded
Project Demand		
1. Degree to which the project meets an identified need or urgency	30	0-10 X 3 =
2. Degree of community support for project	20	0-10 x 2 =
3. Degree to which the project is important and needed	20	0-10 x 2 =
4. Degree to which the users will benefit	20	0-10 X 2 =
5. Degree to which project facilitates the access and use of facility by persons with all abilities	10	0-10 X 1=
6. Degree to which project addresses multiple transportation alternatives categories	20	0-10 X 2=
Project Development and Maintenance		
1. Program can be implemented within one year	40	0-10 X4=
2. Degree to which project development will use grant funds to encourage/secure greater public or private investments i.e. labor services, materials, dedication of land or other contributions.	20	0-10 X2 =
3. Degree to which the project will involve inter-agency cooperation and involvement	10	0-10 X 1=

Worksheets

Criteria- Project Demand	Points Possible
<p>1. Degree to which the project meets an identified need or urgency.</p> <p>Project addresses immediate needs</p> <p>Immediate need for project is not illustrated, but a need is anticipated within the next 3-5 years.</p> <p>The need for the project is not illustrated or anticipated.</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 3.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>8-10</p> <p>4-7</p> <p>1-3</p>
<p>2. Degree of community support for project</p> <p>Project is supported by community organizations that participated extensively in project planning/design, and the private sector will provide substantial assistance in project implementation.</p> <p>Project is supported by an agency and is endorsed by community organizations that have participated in project planning/design. The private sector will provide some assistance in project implementation.</p> <p>Project is responsive to expressed community needs, but there has been relatively little community participation or private sector commitment.</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 2.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>8-10</p> <p>4-7</p> <p>1-3</p>
<p>3. Degree to which project is important and needed.</p> <p>Project meets an important and <u>identified</u> need by the community.</p> <p>Project meets an important (only) need by the community.</p> <p>Project importance not clearly identified.</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 2.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>8-10</p> <p>4-7</p> <p>1-3</p>

Worksheets

Project Demand Criteria	Possible Points
<p>4. Degree to which users will benefit.</p> <p>Project will benefit more than two user types AND more than 50 users will be served by the project.</p> <p>Project will benefit one user type AND more than 25 users will be served by the project.</p> <p>Project benefits NOT clearly identified and served users not stated.</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 2.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>8-10</p> <p>4-7</p> <p>1-3</p>
<p>5. Degree to which project allows for access and use by persons of all abilities.</p> <p>75-100% is assessable to people with all abilities</p> <p>50-74% is assessable to people with all abilities</p> <p>0-49% is assessable to people with all abilities</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 1.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>10</p> <p>5</p> <p>0</p>
<p>6. Degree to which project addresses multiple Transportation Alternatives Categories</p> <p>Project will address <u>more</u> than three categories</p> <p>Project will address 1-2 categories</p> <p>Project not shown to address categories</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 2.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>8-10</p> <p>4-7</p> <p>1-3</p>

Worksheets

Project Development and Maintenance Criteria	Points Possible
<p>1. Program can be implemented within one year</p> <p>If yes</p> <p>If no</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 4.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>10</p> <p>0</p>
<p>2. Degree to which project development will use grant funds to encourage/secure greater public or private investments (i.e. labor, services, materials, donations or dedications of land, monetary contributions etc.)</p> <p>50% or more</p> <p>35-49%</p> <p>0-34%</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 2.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>10</p> <p>7</p> <p>3</p>
<p>3. Degree to which the project will involve inter-agency cooperation. In addition, to the Nevada Department of Transportation the project will involve and promote inter-agency cooperation between the sponsoring agency and other groups or agencies.</p> <p>Three or more</p> <p>Two</p> <p>One</p> <p>None</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 2.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>10</p> <p>7</p> <p>3</p> <p>0</p>

Criteria Access, Location, Project Development and Maintenance	
<p>2) Degree to which project development will use grant funds to encourage/secure greater public or private investments (i.e. labor, services, materials, donations or dedications of land, monetary contributions etc.)</p> <p>50% or more</p> <p>35-49%</p> <p>0-34%</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 2.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>10</p> <p>7</p> <p>3</p>
<p>3) Degree to which the project will involve inter-agency cooperation. In addition, to the Nevada Dept. of Transportation the project will involve and promote inter-agency cooperation between the sponsoring agency and other groups or agencies.</p> <p>Three or more</p> <p>Two</p> <p>One</p> <p>None</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 1.0 (Weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>10</p> <p>7</p> <p>3</p> <p>0</p>
<p>4) Degree to which project allows for access and use by persons of all abilities.</p> <p>75 to 100% is assessable to people with all abilities</p> <p>50 to 74% is assessable to people with all abilities</p> <p>0-49% is assessable to people with all abilities</p> <p>Points awarded ____ x 1.0 (weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>10</p> <p>5</p> <p>0</p>
<p>4) Degree of community support for project.</p> <p>Project is supported by community organizations that participated extensively in the project planning/design AND the private sector will provide substantial assistance in project implementation.</p> <p>Project is supported by an agency and is endorsed by community organizations that have participated in project planning/design. The private sector will provide some assistance in project implementation.</p> <p>Project is responsive to needs, but has little or none community participation or commitment.</p> <p>Points awarded ____ X 1.0 (weight) = ____ score</p>	<p>10</p> <p>5</p> <p>0</p>