2016

Double click on the header above and enter the EA. The EA only needs to be entered on the first page.

Caltrans Excellence in Partnering Award Application

August 2015 version

www.CaltransPartnering.com



Background

The Caltrans Excellence in Partnering Award is an annual statewide recognition of completed partnered contracts that best optimize principles of partnering.

The main purpose is to celebrate success, share lessons learned of best practices, and honor all contract stakeholders.

Eligibility

Completed partnered construction contracts with approved PFE or Exceptions to the PFE dated between January 1,2015 and December 31, 2015.

Recognition Levels

I. Nominee (0-74 points)II. Bronze (75-79 points)III. Silver (80-89 points)IV. Gold (90-100+ points)

V. Best in Class (highest Gold in each award category)

Criteria

Caltrans Excellence in Partnering Awards are judged on both objective and subjective criteria. Applications will be scored by a team composed of Caltrans construction managers and industry senior executives.

Directions

- Applications must be **TYPED** and completed fully. Fill out a separate application for each project nominated. Applications are available on the Caltrans Partnering website at www.CaltransPartnering.com.
- 2. The text for all sections (I through VI) may have a combined total of no more than 2200 words. The word count is to be filled in for each section as requested and the combined total supplied in the Total Word Count space. The "word count" feature in Microsoft Word (under the tools menu) may be used to count words. Applications will be rejected if they exceed the 2200-word count maximum. It is preferable that your input text be in color, such as blue or red. The word count limit applies only to the text you have added and NOT the existing application form text.
- 3. A <u>maximum</u> of <u>five</u> additional supporting pages (8 1/2 inches by 11 inches, **one side only**) beyond the specifically requested items may be attached to the application. Supporting pages can include text, charts, graphs or appropriate tables to highlight results. More than **five** additional pages will <u>NOT</u> be accepted. If greater than five pages are included, only the first five pages will be evaluated and the judges may deduct up to five points for supporting pages in excess of five. Entries become the property of Caltrans Division of Construction, and will not be returned. (The Partnering Charter, Dispute Resolution Ladder, photographs, and any other specifically requested items within this application are not counted toward the additional pages.)
- 4. Nominations are to be submitted in color PDF format by email. All Pages should be formatted to 8 ½" x 11". Applicants are encouraged to retain the computer files for future use.
- 5. Email the PDF file of each application plus attachments to the Caltrans District Partnering Coordinator in the district in which the project lies on or before **5:00 p.m. on January 15, 2016.**

Below is the link to the current District Partnering Coordinators: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/construc/partnering/documents/CT_Partnering_Contacts.pdf

No other form of delivery will be accepted (fax, internal mail, etc.).

- 6. All applications must be signed by the Resident Engineer of the nominated project and the prime contractor equivalent in the "Nomination Submitted by" portion.
- 7. In order to give all contract applications the same opportunity, applications that do not follow the above rules and format, or are received after the deadline, will not be considered for awards.

For videos and photos of the Excellence in Partnering award event check the Caltrans partnering website three weeks after the event: www.CaltransPartnering.com

Partnering Award Applicant Checklist

This checklist is intended to assistance you in providing complete and thorough applications. Please verify that your application includes the following and excludes extraneous materials:

\checkmark	Item	Application Location/Reference
	Application Form, Signed by Caltrans and the Contractor	Page 3
	Word Count completed for sections I through IV, confirm	Page 2, Item 2
	that total does not exceed 2200 words.	
	Additional Supporting Pages (maximum 5 single sided, letter	Page 2, Item 3
	pages)	
	Partnering Charter, signed copy*	Section IV, Item A
	Monthly Partnering Evaluation Survey and Results	Section IV, Item B
	(maximum 3 sample reports, preferably including the final	
	one)*	
	Testimonial Letters regarding stakeholder relationships	Section V, Item C
	(maximum 5 letters)*	
	6 Project Photos, in jpg or tif format (include a couple with	Page 2, Item 3
	team members in the photo)	

^{*}Not counted towards additional support pages.

If your email system cannot send the PDF application because the PDF file is too large, contact the HQ partnering coordinator Ken Solak at ken_solak@dot.ca.gov for an alternative submission process.

Project EA 00 - 000000

Note: The judges look carefully at the responses to questions. Direct, pointed answers to questions without rhetoric are desired. Supporting facts and documents are very helpful. Please do <u>not</u> leave out requested information as it affects the overall score. To help the judges give you maximum credit, please reference any related attachments in each response, and label each attachment with the question(s)/section(s) it supports.

CONTRACT IN	IFORMATION
Project Name:	Exactly the way you want it on the Award.
Project Description:	(Describe the scope of work)
Location:	
District-EA:	
Brief Description of Job Site:	(Describe location and unique characteristics of the contract site) Maximum 75 words
Award Category	<\$10m
Nomination Submittee The undersigned nominate the	ed By: his project for consideration of the Caltrans Excellence in Partnering Award.
Caltrans signature	Prime contractor signature
<name>, Caltrans, Resident Engineer</name>	Date NAME> Date Organization and Title>
Caltrans:	Caltrans Project Partnering Lead on this project (Name and Title): Address: Office Phone Number: Cell Phone Number: Email Address:
Prime Contractor:	Contractor Project Partnering Lead on this project (Name, Title, and Company): Address: Office Phone Number: Cell Phone Number: Email Address:
List any stakeholders, including subs/ vendors/ funding agencies etc that significantly contributed to the partnering effort. They will be invited to the award ceremony and receive an award certificate. Include an additional page if you need to add more.	Name and Title: Address Phone Number: Email Address: Name and Title: Address Phone Number: Email Address: Name and Title: Address Phone Number: Email Address:
	Phone Number: Email Address:

I. Partnering Initiation and Process

(10 Points)

A)	Was partnering performed on this contract? Yes or No (If No, skip to Sec II)
B)	Kick-off Workshop was: Self Directed (1 Point) or Professionally Facilitated (5 Points)
	Partnering Facilitator name and company, if applicable:
C)	Was Partnering Skills Development Training held for the project team?
	☐ Yes (1 Point) or ☐ No (0 Points)
	If yes, what topics were covered? (1 to 4 allowed per spec.)
	12
	3
	If yes, how many team members attended?
	If yes, Instructor name and company:
D)	Total number of Quarterly Partnering Sessions held during contract:
	Self Directed:(1 Point)
	Professionally Facilitated: (3 Points)
	What was the contract duration (years/months):
E)	Was a Partnering Close-out / Lessons Learned session held? If so, attach documentation.
	☐ Yes (1 Point) or ☐ No (0 Points)

Project EA 00 - 000000

II. LIST ALL STAKEHOLDERS

(5 Points)

(Identify entity, involvement	nt or scope of work and note whethe	r they attended partnering sessions):
Section II Word Count:		
Entity	Involvement	Participated in Partnering Sessions (yes/No)

III. WHY THIS CONTRACT?

(27 Points)

Describe why this contract should receive a Caltrans Excellence in Partnering Award. Focus on challenges or obstacles overcome, awards, and special efforts. Mention joint problem-solving examples and partnering best practices used including risk management, value engineering, dispute resolution ladders and the inclusion of Caltrans designers, third parties and stakeholders in the process. Explain how the project partnering process was instrumental to the successful completion of the project. (*Answer in 500 words or less. Note that the national Marvin M. Black award application limits this section to 250 words.*)

occion in word ocurr.	Section	Ш	Word	Count:	
-----------------------	----------------	---	------	--------	--

CONTRACT RESULTS

1\	/. OBJECTIVE CRITERIA (34 Points	s)
_	ection IV Word Count:	<u>-, </u>
Ex	plain each item in no more than one or two short paragraphs. Was a Partnering Charter prepared? Include a signed copy including goals, dispute resolution ladder maintenance plan. (5 Points, 0 if Charter not attached) (Describe the process used to prepare the Charter.)	and
B)	How were the goals of the charter evaluated or measured? Were they realized? (<i>6 Points</i>) (Describe the partnering evaluation process on this contract. Include one sample copy of monthly partnering evaluation survey with a trend line showing how the scores changed over time)	
C)	What was the safety record for all jobsite employers? (2 Points) (Include OSHA recordable rate (OSHA recordables/ 1000 man hours)	
D)	Did the contract cost come in at or under the original Contract allotment? (3 Points) (Provide original contract allotment (State Furnish Material; Bid Items; Supplemental Work, Contingent vs. actual cost (PFE + State Furnish Material))	ісу)
	Original Contract Allotment \$ vs. Actual Cost \$	
	If over please explain.	
	G-12 request (Y/N) CTC supplemental funds requested (Y/N)	
E)	Did the contract come in on or ahead of schedule? (<i>3 Points</i>) Start Date:Finish Date:(Provide contract working days + additional CCO working days vs. actual working days in the contract	<i>t)</i>
	Contract working days + CCO days = Actual working days =	
F)	Describe your issue resolution procedure and show evidence. (<i>5 Points, 0 if no evidence</i>) (Cite examples where dispute resolution procedures, i.e. dispute ladder / Facilitated Dispute Resolution worked effectively)	on
G)	How were potential claims resolved before contract acceptance? (<i>6 Points</i>) (Provide brief descriptions and dollar values of resolved potential claims.)	
H)	How many claims were filed on the contract after Proposed Final Estimate (PFE)? (2 Points) (Provide brief descriptions of the claims, dollar values and what was done to mitigate the claims)	
I)	Partnering Expense Analysis <i>(2 points)</i> Total Cost of Project Partnering Expense (facilitator, lunch, meeting space, scorecards): Estimated Project Savings: Estimated Savings per dollar of partnering cost (e.g. \$90/\$1):	

V. SUBJECTIVE CRITERIA

(24 Points)

Section	V	Word	Count:	
---------	---	------	--------	--

Explain each item in no more than one or two short paragraphs.

- A) Were trade/craft foremen and workers involved in the project partnering process? How? (*4 Points*)
- B) Were subcontractors involved in the project partnering process? How? Provide the % of listed subcontractors that attended the kickoff partnering session. (*4 Points*)
- C) How did Partnering improve relationships between the owner, the contractor and key stakeholders during and after the project (3 Points) (Supply testimonial letters if possible.)
- D) Identify any innovative ideas that evolved through the project partnering process. (*6 Points*) (Examples may relate to cost savings, value engineering, improved productivity, quality, etc.)
- E) How did partnering allow your team to improve the project's quality <u>beyond</u> what was specified in the contract? (*4 Points*)
- F) List any teambuilding activities. Describe any unique motivational activities employed. (3 Points)

٧	I.B	0	Nl	JS	P	OI	N	TS
---	-----	---	----	----	---	----	---	----

(Up to 4 Points maximum)

Section	VI Word Coul	nt:

A) Explain any special adaptations or refinements that were actually made to improve the project partnering process to fit this particular contract.

(This may relate to the frequency and type of meetings; specific process implementation methods; how the facilitator, field staff, subcontractors, executives, and other stakeholders were involved; evaluation methods; techniques used to keep team members engaged, etc.) (2 Points)

B) Offer your ideas of how the project partnering process could be improved, which would have benefited this project and may benefit future partnered projects.

(This may include ways to improve the whole partnering process, ways to optimize process implementation, lessons learned to date (good and bad), and actions you will take in future projects.)

(2 Points)

C) What is the average participation level of your project's Monthly Partnering Evaluation Survey throughout the life of the project?

(This is the monthly average number of team members that completed the survey compared to the monthly average number of team members invited to take the survey. Show both numbers and the percentage. If your project partnering has been professionally-facilitated, then your partnering facilitator should be able to provide this info.) (2 *Points*)

<u></u>		
Total Application Word Count:	(Sum of Sections I through VI)	

Please include four pictures of the team while working on the project. Pictures should be in .jpg format.

Caltrans Excellence in Partnering Award Applicant Survey

The Statewide Partnering Recognition Team is committed to continuous improvement. Your feedback as our customer is extremely important. Please take a moment to complete this survey, and **return it with your award application**. The information you provide will be used to improve next year's Contract Partnering Recognition.

Please indicate your reaction to each of the following: Strongly No Strongly Agree Comment Disagree Agree Disagree Partnering is making a difference in my district. The Caltrans Excellence in Partnering Award Application was easy to understand. The Application 2200-word count limit was enough space to explain the contract's Partnering process. Our contract team was given enough time to provide the requested information. Partnering in my District/Division/Region is well advertised. Please add any additional comments you feel are appropriate to help us improve: Please offer your ideas for improving the Caltrans Partnering Program overall. This may include suggestions regarding joint or individual training, guidance material, tools, awards and recognition, etc. Optional:

Organization:

Please enclose this survey with Partnering Award Application.

Phone: